Hedgehog signaling activates a mammalian heterochronic gene regulatory network controlling differentiation timing across lineages

Graphical abstract



Authors

Megan Rowton, Carlos Perez-Cervantes, Suzy Hur, ..., Kohta Ikegami, Sebastian Pott, Ivan P. Moskowitz

Correspondence

imoskowitz@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu

In brief

Rowton et al. demonstrate that Hedgehog signaling functions as a developmental clock to control the timing of cardiomyocyte differentiation and prevent congenital heart disease in mice. The Hedgehog signaling transcription factor GLI1 delays cardiac differentiation by activating a *de novo* gene regulatory network that maintains the progenitor state.

Highlights

- Murine Hh signaling is required to prevent premature second heart field differentiation
- Transient GLI1 activity is sufficient to delay cardiac differentiation *in vitro*
- GLI1 activates a *de novo* progenitor GRN to delay differentiation
- GLI1 also delays neural differentiation, suggesting a broad heterochronic role

Rowton et al., 2022, Developmental Cell 57, 2181–2203 September 26, 2022 Published by Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2022.08.009





Article

Hedgehog signaling activates a mammalian heterochronic gene regulatory network controlling differentiation timing across lineages

Megan Rowton,¹ Carlos Perez-Cervantes,¹ Suzy Hur,¹ Jessica Jacobs-Li,¹ Emery Lu,¹ Nikita Deng,¹ Alexander Guzzetta,¹ Andrew D. Hoffmann,¹ Matthew Stocker,¹ Jeffrey D. Steimle,¹ Sonja Lazarevic,¹ Sophie Oubaha,¹ Xinan H. Yang,¹ Chul Kim,¹ Shuhan Yu,¹ Heather Eckart,¹ Mervenaz Koska,¹ Erika Hanson,¹ Sunny S.K. Chan,^{2,3} Daniel J. Garry,^{2,3} Michael Kyba,^{2,3} Anindita Basu,¹ Kohta Ikegami,¹ Sebastian Pott,¹ and Ivan P. Moskowitz^{1,4,*}

¹Departments of Pediatrics, Pathology, Human Genetics, and Genetic Medicine, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

²Lillehei Heart Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

³Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

⁴Lead contact

*Correspondence: imoskowitz@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2022.08.009

SUMMARY

Many developmental signaling pathways have been implicated in lineage-specific differentiation; however, mechanisms that explicitly control differentiation timing remain poorly defined in mammals. We report that murine Hedgehog signaling is a heterochronic pathway that determines the timing of progenitor differentiation. Hedgehog activity was necessary to prevent premature differentiation of second heart field (SHF) cardiac progenitors in mouse embryos, and the Hedgehog transcription factor GLI1 was sufficient to delay differentiation of cardiac progenitors *in vitro*. GLI1 directly activated a *de novo* progenitor-specific network *in vitro*, akin to that of SHF progenitors *in vivo*, which prevented the onset of the cardiac differentiation program. A Hedgehog signaling-dependent active-to-repressive GLI transition functioned as a differentiation timer, restricting the progenitor network to the SHF. GLI1 expression was associated with progenitor status across germ layers, and it delayed the differentiation of neural progenitors *in vitro*, suggesting a broad role for Hedgehog signaling as a heterochronic pathway.

INTRODUCTION

Organ development requires stage-specific differentiation (Ebisuya and Briscoe, 2018); however, the molecular basis of differentiation timing control is unknown in most cases. Explicit genetic control of developmental timing was first described in C. elegans, based on mutations that caused premature or delayed progenitor cell state transitions, identifying a class of heterochronic genes (Ambros and Horvitz, 1984). Heterochronic regulators have since been described in other species, including Drosophila neuroblast temporal identity factors (Averbukh et al., 2018; Durand and Raff, 2000; Jessell, 2000; Pearson and Doe, 2004) and the Delta-Notch oscillatory network controlling vertebrate somitogenesis (Pourquié, 1998). Differentiation timing has been linked to developmental processes, including cell type specification, spatial patterning, and proliferation rate (Brown, 2014; Gomez et al., 2008; Harima et al., 2013; Hubaud and Pourquié, 2014; Imayoshi et al., 2013; Kohwi and Doe, 2013; Momen-Roknabadi et al., 2016; Moss, 2007; Otani et al., 2016; Pearson and Doe, 2004; Pourquié, 1998; Weger et al., 2017). However, heterochronic modulators of differentiation timing have proven difficult to identify in mammalian organ development, despite

their predicted association with congenital malformations in humans and animal models (Smith, 2003; Wilson, 1988).

Two cardiac progenitor (CP) fields, the first heart field (FHF) and the second heart field (SHF), display heterochronic differentiation during mammalian heart development (Kelly et al., 2001). FHF CPs differentiate early to generate the linear heart tube (HT), reminiscent of the mature heart of invertebrates or lungless vertebrates, including fish. SHF CPs differentiate later, after migrating into the poles of the HT, and generate structures required for pulmonary circulation, including the right ventricle, the pulmonary outflow tract (OFT), and the atrial septum (Kelly, 2012). Although the FHF and SHF are specified toward the cardiomyocyte (CM) lineage at a similar developmental time point (~E7.25 in the mouse embryo) (Dyer and Kirby, 2009; Lescroart et al., 2014; Meilhac et al., 2004), the molecular mechanism that delays differentiation of the SHF is unknown.

SHF-derived cardiac structures are critical for cardiopulmonary circulation and are often affected in congenital heart disease (CHD), the most common human birth defect (Briggs et al., 2012; Kelly et al., 2014; Neeb et al., 2013). SHF CPs are exposed to a complex intersection of signaling pathways, whose disruption often causes structural heart defects (Bruneau, 2013; Hutson



Developmental Cell Article



Figure 1. Hedgehog signaling is specific to cardiac progenitors during cardiac differentiation *in vivo* (A) Diagram of second heart field (SHF) and heart tube (HT) regions of an E10.5 mouse embryo. Dotted lines indicate the boundaries of microdissection for Dropseq experiment (N = 2).

Article

et al., 2010; Jain et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2013; Rankin et al., 2021; Rochais et al., 2009; Xie et al., 2012). For example, CHD-causing mutations are enriched in genes encoding components of the cilium, a cellular organelle essential for the Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway (Friedland-Little et al., 2011; Huangfu et al., 2003; Ocbina et al., 2011; Burnicka-Turek et al., 2016; Watkins et al., 2019). Disruption of Hh activity in the SHF causes CHD; however, the pathophysiological mechanism has not been described (Briggs et al., 2016; Goddeeris et al., 2007; Hoffmann et al., 2009; Xie et al., 2012).

Here, we screened the SHF CM differentiation trajectory for intercellular signaling pathway candidate mediators of heterochronic SHF differentiation control. The Hh signaling pathway was specifically associated with the SHF CP state. We found that Hh signaling was required to prevent cardiac differentiation in the SHF in vivo. Consistently, transient activation of the Hhdependent transcription factor (TF) GLI1 delayed cardiomyocyte differentiation from mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) in vitro. GLI1 induced a gene regulatory network (GRN) that globally shifted the epigenetic profile of CPs in vitro toward a SHF-like progenitor profile in vivo and activated progenitor-specific gene modules. A transition from active to repressive GLI activity decommissioned progenitor-specific enhancers, restricting their activity to the SHF and permitting cardiac differentiation. GLI1 activity in neural progenitors also caused a heterochronic delay of differentiation. These findings suggest that Hh signaling may function broadly as a heterochronic regulator of progenitor differentiation across germ layers and biological contexts.

RESULTS

Hh signaling is specifically active in the progenitor state *in vivo*

We hypothesized that signal-dependent mechanisms controlled SHF CP differentiation timing. We therefore interrogated signaling pathway activity by single-cell transcriptome analysis of the SHF and HT in vivo, microdissected from E10.5 mouse embryos (Figure 1A). We defined 19 distinct populations expressing markers of known cell types from all three germ layers by droplet-based single-cell RNA sequencing (Drop-seq) (Figures 1B and S1A-S1C; Table S1) (Macosko et al., 2015). We then defined four atrial cardiac-associated clusters: two posterior SHF (pSHF) CP populations, an intermediate CP/CM population and an atrial CM population (Figures S1D–S1H; see STAR Methods). From CPs in the pSHF to functionally differentiated HT CMs in the heart, a single-cell transcriptome differentiation continuum was apparent (Figures 1C and S2A). The two CP clusters expressed known pSHF genes *Isl1, Tbx5*, and *Arg1* (Figure 1D; Cai et al., 2003; de Soysa et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2012) and differ-



entially expressed the right-sided marker *D030025E07Rik/Plyrr* IncRNA (Welsh et al., 2015) and left-sided marker *Pitx2* (Liu et al., 2002; Kitamura et al., 1999) (Figures S2B–S2D; Table S1; Figure S2B: two-sided Welch's t test, *D030025E07Rik* p = 0.0001, *Pitx2* p = 0.025). The intermediate CP/CM cluster was characterized by low expression of genes encoding sarcomere structural components including cardiac troponin T2 (*Tnnt2*) and high expression of the cardiogenic TF kernel *Tbx5*, *Gata4*, and *Nkx2-5* (Figure 1D; Table S1). The differentiated CM cluster showed high expression of both sarcomere genes and cardiac TFs (Figure 1D; Table S1).

We defined a single-cell CP-to-CM differentiation trajectory from the four atrial CM-associated clusters using a set of 24 known CM marker genes (Figures 1E and S2E; Table S1; de Soysa et al., 2019). From CP to intermediate to CM stages, we observed a step-wise decrease in cell cycle progression, consistent with a gradient of increasing differentiation (Figures S2F and S2G). We performed a pairwise differential expression test to confirm expected gene expression differences between the combined CP clusters and the CM cluster. We found 158 CP-enriched genes and 486 CM-enriched (log₂-fold change \geq 0.5, FDR \leq 0.05) (Table S1). CP-enriched genes Aldh1a2, Arg1, and Ccdc34 were more highly expressed in cells at the beginning of the pseudotime trajectory and were associated with gene ontology (GO) terms consistent with a progenitor state, including cell division and DNA replication (Figures S2H and S2I). By contrast, CM-enriched genes such as Tnnc1, Myl4, Nppa, and Des were highly expressed in cells at the end of the pseudotime differentiation trajectory and were associated with GO terms indicative of differentiated CMs, such as muscle structure development and actin binding (Figures S2J and S2K). Furthermore, known CP and CM gene expression patterns aligned with the pseudotime differentiation trajectory (Figures S2L and S2M) (Bax et al., 2009; Bertrand et al., 2011; de Soysa et al., 2019; Fujii et al., 2017; Lu et al., 1998; Robb et al., 1998), confirming the differentiating cardiomyocyte lineage.

We investigated the activity of developmental signaling pathways implicated in SHF development across the SHF differentiation trajectory. The Wnt, FGF, Hh, Notch, retinoic acid (RA), and BMP pathways were assessed using expression levels of known target genes as a readout of pathway activity (Bruneau, 2013; Han et al., 2020; Hutson et al., 2010; Jain et al., 2015; Rochais et al., 2009; van Wijk et al., 2007). We observed that Hh, RA, and Wnt pathway targets were active in the CP state, FGF and Notch pathway targets became activated in the late CP and intermediate states, and BMP targets became active in the intermediate and CM states, toward the end of the pseudotime trajectory (Figure 1F). We aggregated the expression levels of target genes for each pathway to calculate a general readout

⁽B) UMAP plot displaying 19 distinct cell type clusters identified from microdissected SHF and HT tissue.

⁽C) tSNE plot showing 4 cardiac-associated clusters of pSHF and HT cells.

⁽D) tSNE plots indicating the expression levels of cardiac transcription factors and CP/CM marker genes within the 4 CM-associated clusters.

⁽E) Cardiac differentiation pseudotime (dpt) score indicated by cell color within tSNE plot of 4 cardiac-associated clusters.

⁽F) Heatmap of individual cells from cardiac-associated Drop-seq clusters showing the denoised expression levels of target genes of 6 signaling pathways along the pseudotime differentiation trajectory.

⁽G) Line plot indicating the aggregated relative expression levels of pathway target genes along the pseudotime differentiation trajectory.

⁽H) Violin plots showing the metagene scores for signaling pathway target genes at the CP, intermediate, and CM stages of cardiac differentiation for 6 signaling pathways. Int., intermediate; aSHF, anterior second heart field; pSHF, posterior second heart field; OFT, outflow tract; IFT, inflow tract; En, pulmonary endoderm.

CellPress

Developmental Cell Article



Figure 2. Hedgehog signaling prevents premature activation of the cardiac differentiation program in the second heart field

(A) Diagram of the SHF and HT regions of an E10.5 mouse embryo. Dotted lines indicate the boundaries of microdissection for an SHF-specific RNA-seq from $Shh^{+/+}$ and $Shh^{-/-}$ embryos (N = 4–5).

(B) Volcano plot displaying activated and repressed genes in the Shh^{-/-} relative to Shh^{+/+} pSHF. Red and blue dots signify significantly upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively.

(C) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of $Shh^{-/-}$ repressed genes.

(D) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of Shh^{-/-} activated genes.

(E) Diagram of the SHF and HT regions of an E10.0 mouse embryo with dotted lines that indicate the boundaries of microdissection for RNA-seq comparing the pSHF and HT (N = 6).

(F) MA plot and box plots illustrating the distribution of Shh^{-/-} dysregulated genes superimposed on the distribution of differentially expressed genes between the wild-type pSHF and HT.

Article

of pathway activity. Hh and RA pathways were highly active in the CP state. The BMP pathway was induced prior to the transition between the CP and intermediate states (Figures 2G and S2N), consistent with the known role of BMP signaling in promoting SHF differentiation (Hutson et al., 2010; Tirosh-Finkel et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010). The Hh pathway demonstrated the most CP-specific activity, based on its steep activity decline between the CP and intermediate states (Figure 1H; two-sided Welch's t test, Hh p = 4.34E-32, RA p = 1.46E-17, Wnt p = 0.67, FGF p = 2.86E-6, Notch p = 0.004, BMP p = 2.69E-8). These data suggested that active Hh signaling was specific to CPs and excluded from differentiating CMs, consistent with a known requirement for Hh signaling in the mammalian SHF for cardiac morphogenesis (Briggs et al., 2016; Goddeeris et al., 2007; Hoffmann et al., 2009; Xie et al., 2012).

Hh signaling prevents premature differentiation and CHD *in vivo*

The specific activity of Hh signaling in CPs suggested a potential role in cardiac differentiation timing control. Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) ligand, expressed in the pulmonary endoderm, activates Hh signaling in the adjacent pSHF mesoderm (Goddeeris et al., 2007; Hoffmann et al., 2009). To investigate the functional role of Hh signaling in the pSHF, we performed bulk RNA-seq analvsis of E10.5 Shh mutant (Shh^{-/-}) and control (Shh^{+/+}) microdissected pSHFs (Figure 2A). We observed decreased expression of mesenchyme-, mesoderm-, and CP-specific genes including Foxf1, Wnt2b, Osr1, and Snai1 in the Shh^{-/-} mutant pSHF (Figure 2B; Table S2). Shh^{-/-} repressed genes were associated with GO terms such as regionalization, pattern specification, mesenchyme development, and heart development (Figure 2C). By contrast, we observed increased expression of CM differentiation genes including My/3, Tnni3, Kcnj5, Scn5a, and Nppa in the Shh^{-/-} mutant pSHF (Figure 2B). Shh^{-/-} activated genes included Myl3, Tnni3, Kcnj5, Scn5a, and Hopx, a promoter of cardiomvocyte differentiation (Jain et al., 2015) and were associated with cardiac differentiation GO terms including muscle cell differentiation, myofibril assembly, and the sarcomere (Figure 2D). These data suggested that active Hh signaling promotes CP gene expression and inhibits CM gene expression in the pSHF.

We examined the temporal dynamics of SHF Hh-dependent gene expression to examine the requirement for *Shh* in SHF differentiation control. First, we defined CP- or CM-enriched gene expression from bulk transcriptional profiling of the wild-type pSHF versus HT at E10.0 (Figure 2E, log₂ FC \geq 0.5, FDR \leq 0.05, Table S2). We observed that genes repressed in the *Shh*^{-/-} mutant SHF were CP-enriched (Figure 2F; Student's t test, both p = 2e–16), whereas genes activated in the *Shh*^{-/-} mutant SHF were CM-enriched (p = 2e–16). We next assessed the expression dynamics of *Shh*-dependent genes along the CP-to-CM pSHF single-cell pseudotime differentiation trajec-

CellPress

tory. We observed that genes repressed in the $Shh^{-/-}$ mutant SHF were normally CP-expressed in WT embryos, at the beginning of the differentiation trajectory with few exceptions (Figure 2G). By contrast, the majority of genes activated in the $Shh^{-/-}$ mutant SHF were normally selectively expressed in the intermediate and CM states in WT embryos (Figure 2H). These findings indicated that *Shh* is required to maintain CP-specific gene expression and suppress CM-specific gene expression in the pSHF, consistent with a role for Hh signaling in the heterochronic control of CP differentiation in the pSHF *in vivo*.

We next examined the spatiotemporal requirement of Hh signaling for pSHF CP differentiation timing in vivo. We evaluated myosin heavy chain (MHC) protein expression as a marker of differentiating CMs. MHC expression in wild-type littermate controls was localized to the HT and was excluded from the SHF (Figure 2I). By contrast, MHC expression extended into the SHF in Shh^{-/-} mutant embryos at E10.5, including the dorsal mesenchymal protrusion (DMP) (Figure 2I), a structure critical for atrioventricular septation (Snarr et al., 2007). Prior work demonstrated that disruption of Hh singling in $Shh^{-/-}$ mice caused atrioventricular septal defects (AVSDs) based on absence of the DMP (Goddeeris et al., 2008; Hoffmann et al., 2009, 2014). These observations link inappropriate differentiation timing of specific SHF progenitors to CHD characterized by the absence of cardiac structures derived from those progenitors in Hh signaling mutant embryos.

Hh TF GLI1 delays differentiation of CPs in vitro

We examined the isolated effect of Hh signaling on cardiac differentiation timing in a mESC directed-differentiation system (Figure S3A; Kattman et al., 2011). We hypothesized that endogenous Hh signaling would diminish during the CP-to-CM differentiation transition in vitro. We confirmed an in vitro differentiation trajectory from ES cells to mesoderm to CPs to mESC-CMs, including the activation of known CP markers Tbx5. Nkx2-5. and Isl1. at the mESC-CP stage (days 5-7) by qPCR (Figure S3B). We evaluated endogenous Hh signaling activity during the differentiation time course based on the expression of canonical markers of active Hh signaling, Gli1, Ptch1, and Hhip, and the accumulation of activating GLI^A TFs (GLI1, GLI2^A, and GLI3^A) at the expense of repressive GLI^R TFs (GLI2^R, GLI3^R) (Dai et al., 1999; Hui and Angers, 2011; Lee et al., 1997; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007). We found that endogenous Hh signaling activity was highest in early stages of the differentiation (days 0-2) but subsequently decreased after the mesoderm stage. MESCs and mesoderm stage cells expressed Gli1 and Ptch1, markers of active Hh signaling, which became depleted thereafter (Figure S3C). We observed that the relative GLI protein isoform abundance underwent a switch from GLI3^A to GLI3^R abundance at the CP stage (days 4-6) (Figures S3D-S3F). These results indicated that a transition from active to inactive Hh signaling coincided with mESC-CM differentiation in vitro.

⁽G) Heatmap showing the wild-type expression levels of Shh^{-/-} repressed genes along the pseudotime differentiation trajectory from cardiac-associated Dropseq clusters.

⁽H) Heatmap showing the wild-type expression levels of Shh^{-/-} activated genes along the pseudotime differentiation trajectory from cardiac-associated Dropseq clusters.

⁽I) Immunofluorescent staining for sarcomeric myosin (MF20) in the E10.5 pSHF of $Shh^{+/+}$ and $Shh^{-/-}$ embryos. MF20 staining is shown in red and DAPI counterstain is shown in gray. The white bracket demarcates the forming DMP (N = 3–4). Scale bars, 200 μ m. DMP, dorsal mesenchymal protrusion. ***p \leq 0.005.



Article



Figure 3. The Hh signaling transcription factor GLI1 is sufficient to delay cardiomyocyte differentiation *in vitro* (A) Diagram of a doxycycline-inducible GLI1-FTA transgenic cassette inserted into the *Hprt* locus in mESCs.

(B) Western blot showing induction of GLI1 protein, a marker of active Hh signaling, after doxycycline treatment for 24 h in CPs.
 (C) Schematic representation of the experimental design employed for transient GLI1 overexpression (GLI1 OE) in mESC-derived CM differentiations.
 (D) Immunofluorescent staining for cardiac troponin (cTnT) in control and GLI1 OE cells harvested at day 8. cTnT staining is shown in red and DAPI staining is shown in blue. Scale bars, 100 μm.

Article

We next examined whether maintaining Hh signaling in CPs was sufficient to prevent CP-to-CM differentiation. We specifically hypothesized that maintaining expression of GLI1, an obligate transcriptional activator of Hh signaling, may inhibit CM differentiation. To test this hypothesis, we engineered a transgenic mESC line with doxycycline (dox)-inducible expression of epitope-tagged GLI1 (GLI1-FTA) (Figure 3A) to model GLI1 activation in CPs. To identify the in vitro differentiation stage that most accurately represents the SHF, we compared transcriptomes from an mESC-CM differentiation time series (days 5–15) with wild-type E10.0 pSHF and HT in vivo transcriptomes, as well as the publicly available adult mouse CM transcriptome (Figure 2E; Akerberg et al., 2019). Principle component analysis demonstrated that the greatest proportion of variation across samples was explained by CM differentiation stage (PC1) (Figure S3G; PC1, 63% of variation, top 10 positive and negative loading genes for PC1 are shown; Table S3). Using correlation and hierarchical clustering analyses, we found that days 5 and 6 mESC-CP in vitro transcriptomes most closely resembled the pSHF CP in vivo transcriptomes and that day 15 mESC-CM in vitro transcriptomes most closely resembled E10.5-E12.5 heart in vivo transcriptomes (Figures S3H and S3I; Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2006). We therefore chose to transiently activate the expression of the GLI1-FTA transgene at the mESC-CP stage (days 5 and 6). We further found that treatment of day 5 mESC-CPs with 500 ng/mL dox for 24 h resulted in activation of Hh target Gli1 and Foxf1 to levels similar to those observed in the SHF in vivo (Figure 3B, two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.001; Figures S4A and S4B) and that dox washout at day 6 resulted in the rapid cessation of *Gli1* transcription (Figure S4E).

We observed that mESC-CM differentiation was transiently blocked by GLI1-FTA overexpression (GLI1 OE) in CPs from day 5 to day 6 (Figure 3C). CM differentiation was significantly reduced at day 8, evidenced by decreased relative cTnT-positive area (p = 0.03) (Figures 3D and 3E; two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.07), decreased number of beating CM foci (p = 0.01) (Figure 3F; two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.03), and a decreased percentage of cells expressing cardiac troponin T (cTnT) (Figure S4C; two-sided Student's t test p = 0.18). However, CM differentiation in GLI1 OE cells rebounded by day 12, based on normalization of the percentage of cTnT-positive cells (p = 0.87), number of beating foci (p = 0.13), and relative cTnT+ expression area



(p = 0.07) between GLI1 OE and control differentiations (Figures 3G–3I and S4C; Figure 3H: two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.07; Figure 3I: two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.13; Figure S4C: two-sided Student's t test p = 0.87). GLI1 OE did not cause a significant difference in proliferation rate at day 6, day 8, or day 12, relative to controls (Figure S4D; two-sided Welch's t test, day 6 p = 0.31, day 8 p = 0.53, and day 12 p = 0.67). Overall, these observations indicated that transient activation of GLI1 in mESC-derived CPs was sufficient to temporarily delay cardiac differentiation but did not abrogate CP differentiation potential or enhance CP proliferation.

GLI1 transiently activates a heterochronic regulatory network

We assessed the impact of GLI1 OE on the kinetics of CP-to-CM differentiation gene expression dynamics by RNA-seg at day 6, day 8, and day 12. GLI1 OE for 24 h from day 5 to day 6 caused differential expression of 1,477 genes. However, following dox washout at day 6, GLI1-dependent gene expression changes normalized, such that only 74 genes remained differentially expressed by day 12 (Figure 3J). Rapid normalization of CP (Gli1, Foxf1, and Bra) and CM (Tnnt2, Myh6, and Hand2) gene expression was observed by qPCR (Figures S4E and S4F; Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test for day 6, day 8, and day 12 samples: day 6 p < 2e - 16, day 8 p < 2e - 16, day 12 p < 2e - 16, post hoc t tests, all days p = 2e-16, day 12 repressed versus all p =2e-16, day 12 repressed versus activated p = 2e-16, day 12 all versus activated p = 5e-9; Table S4), consistent with the normalized CM phenotype by day 12. Supporting this observation, correlation scores of GLI1 OE and control replicate transcriptomes clustered by treatment at day 6, but not at day 12 (Figure S4G).

Activation of GLI1 in mESC-CPs from day 5 to day 6 resulted in increased expression of known SHF Hh signaling targets and CP-specific genes *Gli1*, *Ptch1*, *Foxd1*, *Foxf2*, and *Osr1* (Hoffmann et al., 2014) and decreased expression of CM differentiation genes, including *Tnnt2*, *Myh6*, and *Hand2* (Figure 3L). GLI1 OE-activated genes were associated with developmental GO terms including cardiovascular system development, mesenchymal cell differentiation, and receptor-ligand activity (Figure 3M). GLI1 OE repressed genes, on the other hand, were associated with GO terms including muscle structure

- (M) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of GLI1 OE activated genes at day 6.
- (N) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of GLI1 OE repressed genes at day 6.
- (O) Boxplots showing repression of cardiac differentiation markers in GLI1 OE mESC-CPs at day 6.

⁽E) Quantification of the area of cTnT-positivity in control and GLI1 OE cells at day 8 (N = 10).

⁽F) Quantification of the number of beating foci in videos of control and GL11 OE cells at day 8 (N = 10).

⁽G) Immunofluorescent staining for cardiac troponin in control and GL11 OE cells harvested at day 12. cTnT staining is shown in red and DAPI staining is shown in blue. Scale bars, 100 μ m.

⁽H) Quantification of the area of cTnT-positivity in control and GLI1 OE cells at day 12 (N = 10).

⁽I) Quantification of the number of beating foci in videos of control and GLI1 OE cells at day 12 (N = 10).

⁽J) Schematic representation of transient GL11 overexpression (GL11 OE) experimental design in mESC-derived CM differentiation for RNA-seq time series analysis.

⁽K) Time-series heatmap of differentially expressed genes in GLI1 OE CPs relative to control differentiations at day 6, day 8, and day 12 (N = 2-4).

⁽L) Volcano plot displaying activated and repressed genes in GLI1 OE CPs relative to control CPs embryos at day 6. Blue and yellow dots signify significantly activated and repressed genes, respectively (N = 4).

⁽P) Diagram of the SHF and HT regions of an E10.0 mouse embryo with dotted lines that indicate the boundaries of microdissection for RNA-seq experiment comparing the pSHF and HT of E10.0 wild-type embryos (N = 6).

⁽Q) MA plot with box plots illustrating the distribution of GL11 OE dysregulated genes superimposed on the distribution of differential expression between the wild-type E10.0 pSHF and HT. n.s., not significant. * $p \le 0.05$, *** $p \le 0.05$.



development, muscle contraction, and cardiomyocyte differentiation (Figure 3N). Furthermore, PC1-positive loading genes identified in the differentiation time series PCA (Figure S3G), which included cardiomyocyte markers *Myh6*, *Kcnj5*, *Scn5a*, and *Erbb4* were repressed upon GL11 OE at day 6 (Figure 3O; exact test, *Myh6* FDR = 6.2E-5, *Kcnj5* FDR = 8.98E-14, *Scn5a* FDR = 7.5E-5, and *Erbb4* FDR = 9.1E-4; Figure S4H; Student's t test, p = 0.0002).

We next compared the genes dysregulated by GLI1 OE in vitro to wild-type SHF- and HT-specific gene expressions during cardiomyocyte differentiation in vivo at E10.5 (Figure 3P). GLI1 induction in vitro caused global activation of pSHF-specific genes and repression of HT-specific genes (Figure 3Q; Student's t test, activated versus all expressed genes p = 7.16e-20, repressed versus all expressed genes p = 1.49e - 57). We examined GLI1-dependent genes within the high-resolution Drop-seq pseudotime SHF cardiac differentiation trajectory. GLI1 in vitroactivated genes were sorted into four clusters along the differentiation trajectory, with the majority expressed in two CP-enriched clusters (Figure S4I, clusters A and B). GO terms associated with these genes included Hh-dependent processes such as ureteric bud development, heart development, and basal cell carcinoma. By contrast, genes repressed by GLI1 in vitro sorted into two clusters enriched for CM-expressed (cluster A) and intermediate state-expressed (cluster B) genes, with corresponding GO terms related to heart development, heart contraction, and heart conduction (Figure S4J) and included both the drivers (Gata4, Tbx3) and functional products (Ryr2, Kcnj5) of cardiac differentiation. Taken together, these results support a heterochronic model in which transient GLI1 OE is sufficient to delay the onset of the CM differentiation gene expression program and promote the maintenance of a CP expression profile reminiscent of the embryonic pSHF, without promoting alternative cell fates.

GLI1 directly activates CP genes via distal enhancers

To elucidate the direct targets of GLI1-mediated heterochronic regulation, we identified GLI1 binding locations in conjunction with histone modifications in mESC-CPs genome-wide (Figure 4A). GLI1 chromatin affinity purification followed by sequencing (ChAP-seq) in mESC-CPs at day 6 after dox-induction of GLI1-FTA at day 5 (Figure 4B) identified a consensus set of 2,876 binding events between 2 biological replicates (Figure S5A). The GLI TF binding motif was the most enriched motif at GLI1 bound regions (Figure S5B). Consistent with GLI localization patterns in other tissues, GLI1 bound to previously identified enhancers at the known GLI target gene *Ptch1* (Figure S5C) and was primarily localized at intergenic (46%) or intronic (41%) regulatory elements compared with gene promoters (5%) (Figure 4C; Peterson et al., 2012; Vokes et al., 2007, 2008).

We assessed the impact of GLI1 binding on the active enhancer landscape of CPs by assessing enrichment of the H3K27ac histone modification, a hallmark of active enhancers (Creyghton et al., 2010), using chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq). We found that GLI1 OE increased the number of active enhancers by ~16,000 globally (Figure S5D) and that GLI1 binding sites showed dramatically increased H3K27ac levels in GLI1 OE CPs relative to control

CPs (Figures 4D and 4E; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p < 2e-16). In fact, the vast majority (1,979/2,437 [81%]) of GLI1 bound regions gained H3K27ac in GLI1 OE CPs (Figure 4F), including highly activated previously identified enhancers near Ptch1 (Figure S5E; Vokes et al., 2007, 2008). We defined putative direct GLI1 target genes as those with activated expression upon GLI1 OE and within 200 kb of at least one GLI1-activated enhancer; which encompassed the majority (71%) of GLI1-activated genes (Figure S5F). Putative direct GLI1 targets demonstrated larger increases in gene expression than indirect target genes (Figure S5G; two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.04) and direct target transcriptional start sites (TSSs) demonstrated increased enrichment of H3K27ac upon GLI1 OE (Figure S5H; two-sided Student's t test, p = 1.99e - 13). Together, these results deliver the GLI1 GRN in CPs, defined by the set of 599 GLI1-bound and activated enhancers (GLI1 GRN enhancers) and their 430 putative direct target genes (GLI1 GRN genes) in CPs (Table S5).

We identified GLI1 GRN gene modules as candidate mediators of the heterochronic activity of GLI1. We assessed whether GLI1 may control mESC-CP heterochrony by direct regulation of cell cycle regulators, which are known GLI1 direct targets in some contexts (Agathocleous et al., 2007). We examined the genomic regions around three direct GLI1 target genes from other cell types, Ccnd1 (Hasenpusch-Theil et al., 2018), Cdk6 (Hu et al., 2006), and Mync (Hu et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2018). Only the Ccnd1 locus contained GLI1 GRN enhancers (Figure S5I, gray boxes); none of these genes contained a GLI1 activated enhancer near their respective TSSs, and in fact, each showed slightly decreased H3K27ac enrichment upon GLI1 OE. We conclude that direct control of cell cycle regulatory machinery activated by GLI1 in other contexts is unlikely to contribute to GLI1-dependent heterochrony in mESC-CPs. By contrast, we observed a concentration of GLI1 GRN enhancers near genes associated with two functional categories: developmental regulators and genes affiliated with cell adhesion or migration. GLI1 GRN developmental regulators included TFs and signaling components, such as Foxf2 (Bademci et al., 2019; Hoffmann et al., 2014; Nik et al., 2016), Sox11 (Bergsland et al., 2006; Hoshiba et al., 2016; Paul et al., 2014) and Notch2 (Tchorz et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2012), and were mostly expressed in the CP stage of the Drop-seq pseudotime differentiation trajectory (Figure 4G), suggesting that they represent GLI1 targets in the SHF. GLI1 GRN adhesion/migration regulators were also expressed in the CP or intermediate differentiation stages (Figure 4H), and many were associated with adhesion signaling or axon guidance GO terms. GLI1 bound and activated multiple GLI1 GRN enhancers near developmental regulators and adhesion/migration genes, including Ncor2 (Jepsen et al., 2000; Wei et al., 2014), Smad3 (Datto et al., 1999; Tomic et al., 2004), Sema3e (Gitler et al., 2004; Gu et al., 2005; Sakurai et al., 2010), and Snai1 (Gentile et al., 2021; Li et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2018; Figures 4I and 4J, gray boxes), suggesting that GLI1 may engage multiple distal enhancers for the induction of these target genes. These results demonstrate that GLI1 functions to directly activate multiple CP stage-specific gene expression modules, potentially integrating several facets of progenitor cell identity.

CellPress

Article



Figure 4. GLI1 directly activates a GRN comprised of progenitor genes controlled by distal enhancers

(A) Schematic representation of experimental design for ChAP/ChIP-seq in GLI1 overexpression (GLI1 OE) mESC-derived cardiac differentiations (N = 2). (B) Heatmap showing GLI1-FTA ChAP-seq signal Z scores in mESC-CPs at day 6 at all GLI1-bound regions.

(C) Bar plot revealing the percentage of day 6 GLI1-bound regions annotated to genomic features.

(D) Heatmap showing the H3K27ac ChIP-seq signal Z scores in mESC-CPs at day 6 at all GL11-bound regions.

(E) Violin plot depicting the fold enrichment over the input of H3K27ac ChIP-seq signal at GLI1-bound regions in day 6 control and GLI1 OE conditions.

(F) Volcano plot displaying activated and deactivated enhancers in GL11 OE CPs relative to control CPs embryos at day 6. Gold dots label all GL11-bound regions. (G) Heatmap of individual cells from cardiac-associated Drop-seq clusters showing the denoised expression levels of GL11 GRN genes associated with

developmental regulation along the pseudotime differentiation trajectory. (H) Heatmap of individual cells from cardiac-associated Drop-seq clusters showing the denoised expression levels of GLI1 GRN genes associated with cell adhesion or migration along the pseudotime differentiation trajectory.

(I) Genome browser view of the GLI1 GRN developmental regulators *Ncor2* and *Smad3*, with H3K27ac signal enrichment in day 6 control and GLI1 OE. GLI1 GRN enhancers are highlighted in gray boxes.

(J) Genome browser view of the GLI1 GRN adhesion/migration regulators Sema3e and Snai1, with H3K27ac signal enrichment in day 6 control and GLI1 OE. GLI1 GRN enhancers are highlighted in gray boxes. GRN, gene regulatory network. **** $p \le 0.001$.



GLI1 activates a heterochronic pSHF-like GRN de novo

We examined the impact of GLI1 OE on chromatin accessibility at GLI1 GRN enhancers by performing an assay for transposaseaccessible chromatin with sequencing (ATAC-seq) (Buenrostro et al., 2013) on day 7 GLI1 OE and control cells (Figure 5A). We observed increased chromatin accessibility across GLI1 GRN enhancers in GLI1 OE CPs relative to control CPs at day 7 (Figures 5B and 5C; two-sided Student's t test, p < 2e-16), and GLI1 OE led to a global increase in the number of accessible regions (Figure S5J; 59,212 versus 64,173 accessible regions in control versus GLI1 OE samples). Increased chromatin accessibility was observed near known GLI target genes, including Ptch1 (Figure S5K). GLI1-bound regions showed larger increases in both H3K27ac enrichment and chromatin accessibility than unbound regions in response to GLI1 OE (Figure 5D; ATAC-seq two-sided Welch's t test, p < 2e-16; ChIP-seq twosided Welch's t test, p < 2e-16). Together, these results suggested that GLI1 may activate a heterochronic enhancer network through the induction of both histone-modifying and chromatinremodeling activities at GLI1 GRN enhancers in mESC-CPs.

We asked whether GLI1 increased H3K27ac enrichment and chromatin accessibility at an extant CP enhancer network or if it instead superimposed a de novo GLI1-dependent network onto CPs. To differentiate these possibilities, we decoupled the effects of differentiation timing from the effects of GLI1 OE by defining the epigenomic ground state of CPs at day 5, prior to the initiation of GLI1 OE (Figure 5A). We observed that almost all GLI1 GRN enhancers (533/599, [89%]) were inaccessible prior to GLI1 OE at day 5 (Figure 5E). GLI1-mediated H3K27ac deposition at day 6 was significantly higher at GLI1 GRN enhancers that were inaccessible at day 5 than at those that were previously accessible at day 5 (Figure S5L; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p = 2.45e-9). We next intersected day 5 accessible chromatin regions with day 7 accessible regions in control versus GLI1 OE samples to characterize the stage-versus treatment-specificity of GLI1 GRN enhancers. We found that most GLI1 GRN enhancers were enriched in regions that were accessible only in day 7 GLI1 OE cells (274 newly accessible enhancers, enrichment odds ratio 4.7); however, some regions were accessible in both day 5 and day 7 GLI1 OE cells but not in day 7 control cells (26 previously accessible enhancers, enrichment odds ratio 2.4) (Figure 5F; one-sided Fisher's exact test, day 7 GLI1 OE p = 6e-55, day 5:day 7 GLI1 OE p = 1e-4). These results suggest that GLI1 primarily opens and activates a de novo set of Hh signal-dependent enhancers but also maintains accessibility of a small number of previously open enhancers at GLI1 GRN genes in mESC-CPs. Interestingly, several of the GLI1 GRN enhancers with day 5 accessibility prior to GLI1 OE were located at Hh signaling component genes themselves, including Ptch1, which had 2 day 5 accessible enhancers and 13 de novo accessible enhancers (Figure 5G). Together, these observations suggest that GLI1 predominately binds directly to closed chromatin near its target genes and promotes the epigenetic remodeling and de novo activation of a signal-dependent GRN in mESC-CPs to maintain the progenitor state.

We hypothesized that the *de novo* GLI1 GRN established a specialized, paused-CP epigenomic state reminiscent of the SHF *in vivo*. We therefore compared E10.0 pSHF chromatin accessibility *in vivo* (Figure 5H) with day 7 CP accessibility in

Developmental Cell Article

the presence and absence of GLI1 OE *in vitro*. We observed a much stronger correlation between the pSHF and day 7 GLI1 OE mESC-CPs at GLI1 GRN enhancers than between the pSHF and control mESC-CPs (Figure 5I, $R^2 = 0.65$ versus $R^2 = 0.40$, Fisher's *Z*-transformation, p < 2e-16). GLI1 activity was therefore sufficient to partially transform the epigenetic land-scape of CPs *in vitro* toward that of the pSHF *in vivo*. This epigenetic transformation was especially apparent at regions near SHF-expressed GLI1 GRN genes, including a region 76 kb upstream of *Foxf1* (Figure 5J, gray boxes).

GLI TFs comprise a heterochronic transcriptional timer in the SHF

Given that the GLI1 GRN maintained the progenitor status of mESC-CPs, we predicted that GLI1 GRN enhancers would show progenitor-specific activity that was decommissioned during cardiac differentiation in vivo. We compared the spatiotemporal accessibility of GLI1 GRN enhancers in CPs, differentiating CMs and terminally differentiated CMs in vivo (Figure 6A). We defined a union set of 105,618 regions accessible in the E10.0 pSHF (Figure 5H), E10.0 HT (Figure 6A), or the adult mouse heart (Liu et al., 2019) and filtered GLI1 GRN enhancers to exclude sites that were inaccessible in vivo (Figure S6A; 402/599 inaccessible enhancers). We found that in vivo-accessible GLI1 GRN enhancers demonstrated robust accessibility in the pSHF diminished accessibility in the embryonic HT and little-to-no accessibility in the mature heart (Figures 6B and 6C; Kruskal-Wallis test, p < 2e-16; post hoc t tests, all p < 2e-16), decreasing in step-wise fashion during differentiation. The gradual loss of accessibility of GLI1 GRN enhancers in vivo suggested that it was it unlikely that chromatin condensation was responsible for decommissioning the GLI1 GRN as CMs initiate differentiation.

We hypothesized that a Hh-dependent GLI^A-to-GLI^R TF transition may instead function as a molecular timer at GLI1 GRN enhancers to regulate the spatiotemporal activity of the GLI1 GRN. We examined the GLI^A/GLI^R ratio in CPs and CMs *in vivo* (Figure 6A). Western blot analysis on microdissected embryonic pSHF and HT revealed the expression of GLI1 only in the pSHF, not in the HT (Figure 6D). Quantification of the overall abundance of GLI^A and GLI^R in the pSHF and HT demonstrated a dramatic decrease in the proportion of GLI^A TFs between the pSHF and the HT (Figure 6E). The difference in the localizations of GLI^A and GLI^R in the embryo suggested that CPs encounter a GLI^A-to-GLI^R abundance transition as they move away from the pulmonary endoderm source of SHH ligand and toward the HT to differentiate into CMs.

We predicted that repressive GLI TFs, such as GLI3^R, would bind to the same enhancers as GLI1 and abrogate their activity as CPs initiated differentiation (Figure 6F). We engineered a transgenic mESC line with dox-inducible expression of epitope-tagged GLI3^R (GLI3^R-FTA) and used it to perform GLI3^R ChAP-seq in mESC-CPs at day 6 (Figure 6G). We observed robust GLI3^R enrichment at GLI1 GRN enhancers (Figure 6H). GLI3^R was bound within 200kb of 63% (269/430) of GLI1 GRN genes (Figure 6I), suggesting that many GLI1 GRN enhancers may be decommissioned by GLI3^R. We previously identified an enhancer near the GLI1 GRN gene *Foxf1* (Fox enhancer) that was bound and activated by GLI1 and the cardiogenic TF Article

ATAC-seq / + dox

D7

ATAC-sec

2000

80

ATAC FE

Α



0001

ATAC FE

2000



CellPress



GLI1 OE

벁 ATAC log₂

0

control

Figure 5. The GLI1 GRN is pSHF-like and is activated de novo in mESC-CPs

D7 control ATAC-seg CPM

(A) Schematic representation of the experimental design for ATAC-seq in GL11 overexpression (GL11 OE) mESC-derived cardiac differentiations (N = 2). (B) Heatmap showing the ATAC-seq signal Z scores in mESC-CPs at day 7 at GLI1 GRN enhancers.

(C) Violin plot depicting the fold enrichment over the background of ATAC-seq signal at GLI1 GRN enhancers in day 7 control and GLI1 OE conditions.

(D) Density plot showing the GLI1-mediated log₂FC in ATAC-seq and H3K27ac ChIP-seq enrichment for all putative regulatory regions in day 6 and day 7 mESC-CPs. Blue hexes label all GLI1-bound regions.

D7 GLI1 OE ATAC-seg CPN

(E) Bar plot depicting the proportion of GLI1 GRN enhancers demonstrating accessibility at day 5 prior to GLI1 OE.

(F) Upset plot showing the intersection of accessible regions in day 5, day 7 control, and day 7 GLI1 OE conditions. Pink bars represent the regions in each intersection bin that are GLI1 GRN enhancers.

(G) GL11-FTA ChAP-seq and ATAC-seq signal fold enrichment over input near the GL11 target gene, Ptch1. GL11 GRN enhancers with day 5 accessibility (N = 2) are highlighted in blue boxes, and de novo accessible GLI1 GRN enhancers (N = 13) are highlighted in purple boxes.

(H) Diagram of the SHF and HT of an E10.0 mouse embryo with dotted lines that indicate the boundaries of microdissection for a pSHF-specific ATAC-seq (N = 3). (I) Scatterplots of normalized ATAC-seq counts comparing accessibility between day 7 control and day 7 GLI1 OE in vitro and the in vivo pSHF.

(J) Genome browser view of a locus 76kb upstream of Foxf1, a GLI1 GRN gene, with ATAC-seq data from day 7 control and GLI1 OE in vitro and the in vivo pSHF. GLI1 GRN enhancers that demonstrate *de novo* accessibility due to GLI1 in mESC-CPs are highlighted in gray boxes. ***p ≤ 0.001.



Article



Figure 6. A GLI TF transition restricts the heterochronic GRN to the SHF and prevents congenital heart disease

(A) Diagram of the SHF and HT regions of an E10.0 mouse embryo and an adult mouse heart with dotted lines that indicate the boundaries of microdissection for ATAC-seq or protein isolation (N = 3).

(B) Heatmap showing ATAC-seq signal Z scores in the pSHF, HT, and adult heart at GLI1 GRN enhancers.

(C) Violin plot comparing mean ATAC-seq fold enrichment in the pSHF, HT, and adult heart at GLI1 GRN enhancers.

(D) Western blot demonstrating the expression level of GLI^A and GLI^R proteins in the E10.0 wild-type pSHF and HT.

(E) Bar plot showing the relative proportion of GLI^A and GLI^R proteins in the E10.0 wild-type pSHF and HT, from (D).

(F) Schematic of a model describing how Hh GLI^A and GLI^R TFs could regulate GLI1 GRN genes in a spatiotemporal manner in the mouse embryo to control SHF CM differentiation timing.

Article

TBX5 and demonstrated pSHF-restricted activity in vivo (Hoffmann et al., 2014). The chromatin at this enhancer was accessible in both the embryonic pSHF and HT states, raising the possibility that enhancer activity may be dictated by GLI isoform abundance, activated by GLI^A in the pSHF and silenced by GLI3^R in the HT (Figure 6J). Chromatin at this enhancer became de novo accessible and H3K27ac-enriched upon GLI1 OE and was bound by GLI3^R in GLI3^R OE CPs in vitro. We assessed the quantitative effects of GLI1, GLI3^R, and TBX5 on Fox enhancer activity in a luciferase reporter assay. Co-transfection with TBX5 alone activated the Fox enhancer to a modest degree (Figure 6K). Co-transfection of GLI1 with TBX5 dramatically enhanced Fox enhancer activity (two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.04), whereas co-transfection of GLI3^R with TBX5 silenced enhancer activity (two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.02). Mutation of GLI binding sites (GBSs) within the enhancer abrogated but did not completely suppress, cooperative activation, suggesting that GLI1/3^R and TBX5 may physically interact to regulate gene expression. These results indicated that the GLIA/GLIR ratio was a dominant predictor of Fox enhancer activity that may represent a functional high-to-low GLI activity transition restricting GLI1 GRN activity to CPs.

We predicted that the GLI^A-to-GLI^R transition functionally determined the SHF-specific domain of Fox enhancer activity in vivo. We rendered the enhancer impervious to the GLI^A/GLI^R molecular switch by mutating all three GBSs. Although the wild-type enhancer drove reporter expression selectively in the SHF in vivo, the GBS mutant enhancer consistently drove reporter expression in both the SHF and ectopically in the HT in transient transgenic embryos at E10.0 (Figures 6L, S6B, and S6C). This observation suggests that although non GLI TFs (such as TBX5) were sufficient to drive activity of the GBS mutant enhancer in the SHF, decommissioning of the enhancer in differentiating CMs required GLI^R activity. These data support a model in which the spatial distribution of GLI^A/GLI^R TFs in the pSHF and HT comprises a dominant progenitor-on/cardiomvocyte-off molecular timing mechanism to activate and restrict the activity of the GLI1 GRN to CPs and only permit CM differentiation in time and space after CPs escape active Hh signaling in the SHF and enter the HT.

This model predicted that prematurely overriding GLI^A activity with GLI^R in SHF CPs would cause premature SHF differentiation, which we tested by conditionally upregulating GLI3^R in the pSHF. We employed a pSHF-specific, tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombinase line (*Osr1^{eGFPCre-ERT2/+}*) to overexpress GLI3^R (from *ROSA26^{GII3R-Flag/+}*) (Mugford et al., 2008; Vokes et al., 2008). Embryos with GLI3^R overexpression (*Osr1^{eGFPCre-ERT2/+}*; *ROSA26^{GII3R-Flag/+}*) embryos; and GLI3^R OE) demonstrated pre-

CellPress

cocious CM differentiation in the DMP, a pSHF region otherwise occupied by undifferentiated, mesenchymal progenitor cells in wild-type controls, as assessed by MYL7 expression (Figure 6M, yellow arrowheads) and MF20 staining (Figure S6D). Cells in the DMP normally migrate into the heart to form the atrial portion of the atrioventricular septum (AVS). However, in GLI3^R OE mutants, this structure was hypoplastic or missing entirely, causing AVSDs at E14.5 (Figure 6N). Together, these findings indicated that GLI^A TFs function as a heterochronic timing mechanism in the SHF *in vivo* required to activate and maintain a signal-dependent, progenitor-specific GRN and inhibit premature onset of the cardiomyocyte differentiation program, thereby preventing CHD.

GLI1 delays differentiation of mESC-derived neural progenitors

The widespread deployment of Hh signaling during organ development suggested that Hh signaling may function as a heterochronic differentiation timer in other developmental contexts. We first queried whether Hh signaling activity was restricted to the progenitor state in diverse cell types during development. Using Gli1 expression as a marker of active Hh signaling (Lee et al., 1997), we assessed Hh signaling activity dynamics during the differentiation of mouse and human tissues representing all three developmental germ layers using publicly available RNAseg datasets (Bernstein et al., 2010; ENCODE Consortium, 2012; Gascard et al., 2015; Herzog et al., 2017; Lister et al., 2009; Weintraub et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Table S6). As cellular differentiation progressed from the stem cell stage to the progenitor stage to terminally differentiated cell types, we observed a consistent decrease of Gli1 expression ranking in ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm-derived tissues from both mouse and human (Figure 7A; ANOVA followed by post hoc t- ests for *Gli1* rank in ectoderm: p = 3.89e-5; mesoderm: p = 0.01; endoderm: p = 5.54e-5). Gapdh expression ranking remained consistently expressed during the course of cellular differentiation (ANOVA followed by post hoc t tests for Gapdh rank in ectoderm: p = 0.07; mesoderm: p = 0.15; endoderm: p = 0.59). We next identified all genes differentially expressed between the stem or progenitor stages and the differentiated cell stage for each germ layer. Gli1 was differentially expressed in all mouse and human germ layer differentiation time series, showing higher expression in the stem cell stage than the differentiated cell stage (Figure S7A; ANOVA for *Gli1* rank in three mouse groups: p value = 1.15e-4, post hoc Tukey test: stem versus progenitor p value = 0.14, stem versus differentiated p value = 1.56e-4, progenitor versus differentiated p value = 1.39e-3; ANOVA for Gapdh rank in three mouse groups: p value = 0.03; ANOVA for GLI1 rank in three human groups: p value = 1.25e-6; post hoc

⁽G) Schematic representation of the experimental design for ChAP-seq in $GLI3^{R}$ -FTA overexpression (GLI3 OE) mESC-derived cardiac differentiations (N = 2). (H) Heatmap showing $GLI3^{R}$ -FTA ChAP-seq signal Z scores in mESC-CPs at day 6 at all *in vivo*-accessible GLI1 GRN enhancers.

⁽I) Bar plot depicting the proportion of GLI1 GRN genes with GLI3^R-FTA binding within 200 kb of the TSS.

⁽J) Genome browser view of the Foxf1 locus showing the Foxf1 TSS and a GLI1 GRN enhancer upstream of the TSS (gray box, Fox enhancer).

⁽K) Bar plots depicting luciferase reporter activity resulting from co-transfection of TBX5 with either GLI1 or GLI3^R (N = 3).

⁽L) Transient transgenic analysis of *lacZ* reporter expression in the E10.0 pSHF (red box) and HT (gold box) driven by wild-type and GLI binding site mutant versions of the Fox enhancer (N = 5-8).

⁽M) Immunofluorescent staining for MYL7 in the E10.5 pSHF from control and GLI3^R OE embryos (blue = DAPI, red = MYL7). The white bracket demarcates the forming DMP (N = 3). Scale bars, 200 μ m.

⁽N) Histological sections of E14.5 hearts from control and GLI3^R OE embryos. Black arrowheads highlight the incidence of AVSD (N = 5). Scale bars, 200 μ m. GBS, GLI binding site. *p \leq 0.05, ****p \leq 0.001.



Article



Figure 7. GLI1 expression in neural progenitors transiently delays neuronal differentiation

(A) Gene expression rankings for Gli1 and Gapdh from transcriptional profiling of mouse germ layer-specific differentiation series (N = 2-6).

(B) Dot plot of GO term analysis of genes expressed more highly in progenitor cells than in differentiated cells across all germ layers in mice.

(C) Schematic representation of the transient GLI1 OE experimental design in mESC-derived differentiating neurons.

(D) Western blot showing induction of GLI1 protein expression in neural progenitors after doxycycline treatment for 48 h.

(E) Immunofluorescent staining for pan-neuronal marker TUJ1 in control and GL11 OE cells harvested at day 5. TUJ1 staining is shown in red and DAPI counterstain is shown in blue. Scale bars, 100 $\mu m.$

(F) Quantification of the ratio of axons to neurospheres in control and GLI1 OE cells at day 5 (N = 10).

Article

Tukey test: stem versus progenitor p value = 1.70e-4, stem versus differentiated p value = 7.0e-7, progenitor versus differentiated p value = 0.12. ANOVA for *GAPDH* rank in three human groups: p value = 0.22). GO term analysis of genes more highly expressed in the progenitor state than the differentiated state identified the Hh-related terms "Hh signaling pathway" and "Basal cell carcinoma" as well as expected progenitor-associated terms such as "DNA replication" and "cell cycle," and (Figure 7B). These results suggested that Hh signaling activity was generally higher in stem and progenitor cell states than in differentiated cell states across cell types in both human and mouse, consistent with the possibility that Hh signaling may be required to maintain progenitor status and prevent differentiation as a heterochronic regulator across tissues.

We considered neuronal development specifically, as Hh signaling has a well-documented role in neural specification (Belgacem et al., 2016; Briscoe et al., 2000; Dessaud et al., 2008; Ericson et al., 1996; Fuccillo et al., 2006), and we reasoned that Hh/GLI1 might also exert a heterochronic effect in neuronal progenitors. We performed a directed differentiation of GLI1-FTA mESCs into the neuronal lineage from neuro-ectodermal progenitors (days 2-4) to neural progenitors/neurospheres (mESC-NPs, days 4-6) to immature neurons with an axonal network (days 6-8) (Kutejova et al., 2016; Sasai et al., 2014; Figure S7B). Dynamic marker gene expression characteristic of neuronal progenitors (Sox1. Nestin) and differentiating neurons (Tubb3) confirmed the differentiation staging (Figure S7C). Although endogenous Hh signaling, as reflected by Gli1, Ptch1, and Hhip expressions, appeared active throughout the course of neural differentiation, it became reduced during the mESC-NP stage (Figure S7D), suggesting that the reduction of Hh signaling may permit the transition from neuronal progenitors to immature neurons.

We found that transient GLI1 OE in neuronal progenitors was sufficient to delay the onset of neuronal differentiation. Treatment of neural progenitors from day 3 to day 5 with 500 ng/mL dox for 48 h resulted in robust *Gli1* expression (Figures 7C, 7D, and S7E; two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.001). In control cells, axons marked by expression of the pan-neuronal microtubule marker Tubulin β -3 (*Tubb3*/TUJ1) spanned two or more neurospheres by day 5 (Figures 7E–7G). By contrast, in GLI1 OE mESCs, axon emergence (two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.0024) and Tubulin β -3 expression (two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.0024) and Tubulin β -3 expression (two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.0018) were significantly reduced at day 5 (Figures 7E–7G), although the overall number and size of neurospheres remained unaffected (Figure S7F). At day 10, 5 days after dox



washout, a robust axon network had formed in both GLI OE and control cells and TUJ1-expression was statistically indistinguishable between the two (Figures 7H and 7I; two-sided Student's t test, p = 0.1562). These observations suggested that transient GLI1 OE delayed but did not permanently prevent, neuronal differentiation.

The delayed differentiation of neuronal progenitors was reflected by global analysis of gene expression. GLI1 OE (days 3-5) caused altered expression of 523 genes in neuronal progenitors compared with control progenitors at day 5, including activation of known GLI^A targets in the neural tube, such as FoxA2 and Nkx2-2 (Kutejova et al., 2016; Peterson et al., 2012), and neural progenitor markers, such as Neurog3 (Carcagno et al., 2014) and Nkx2-9 (Jarrar et al., 2015; Pabst et al., 2003) (Figures 7K and 7L; Table S7; exact test, Neurog3 FDR = 1.6E-6, Nkx2-9 FDR = 1.3E-170, Sox5 FDR = 5.3E-3). We also observed repression of markers of neuronal differentiation, including Nefm (Carden et al., 1987), Cntn2 (Suter et al., 2020), and Ntrk2 (Baydyuk et al., 2011; Marler et al., 2008), and neurogenic TFs, such as Lhx1 (Bedont et al., 2014; Inoue et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2007) and Pou4f1 (Lanier et al., 2009; McEvilly et al., 1996; Xiang et al., 1996) (Figures 7K and 7L; Table S7; exact test, Nefm FDR = 5.1E-34, Cntn2 FDR = 6.6E-5, Ntrk2 FDR = 2.95E-16, Lhx1 FDR = 1.3E-5 Pou4f1 FDR = 1.1E-5 Pax3 FDR = 9.8E - 3). By day 10, however, gene expression alterations in GLI1 OE mESC-NPs had almost entirely normalized, similar to our observations in mESC-CPs. Consistent with phenotypic recovery of axonal differentiation, only 13 genes remained dysregulated at day 10 (Figures 7M, 7N, S7G, and S7H; Table S7; 7N boxplots: Kruskal-Wallis test, p < 2e-16; post hoc t tests, repressed versus all p = 6.7e-15, repressed versus activated p = 4.8e - 15, all versus activated p = 4.3e - 5; Figure S7H: twosided Student's t test, Tubb3 days 4-6 p < 0.05). Overall, these results suggest that Hh signaling activates transient, progenitor stage-specific heterochronic transcriptional programs to temporarily pause differentiation across somatic cell lineages.

DISCUSSION

We report that Hh signaling functions as a heterochronic pathway to control differentiation timing, apparently without altering cell fate potential, in multiple developmental contexts. A direct role for Hh signaling in heterochronic differentiation control may underlie many functions ascribed to Hh signaling in diverse cellular contexts. Hh signaling has been implicated in organ-specific progenitor functions, including cell migration,

(G) Quantification of the area of TUJ1-positivity in control and GL11 OE cells at day 5 (N = 10).

⁽H) Immunofluorescent staining for TUJ1 in control and GLI1 OE cells harvested at day 10. TUJ1 staining is shown in red and DAPI counterstain is shown in blue. Scale bars, 100 μ m.

⁽I) Quantification of the area of TUJ1-positivity in control and GLI1 OE cells at day 10 (N = 10).

⁽J) Schematic representation of the transient GLI1 overexpression (GLI1 OE) experimental design in mESC-derived differentiating neurons for RNA-seq time series (N = 3).

⁽K) Volcano plot displaying activated and repressed genes in GL11 OE neural progenitors relative to control neural progenitors at day 5. Blue and yellow dots signify significantly dysregulated genes.

⁽L) Boxplots showing repression of neurogenic TFs (top) and neural differentiation products (middle) and activation of neural progenitor TFs (bottom) in GLI1 OE mESC-NPs at day 5.

⁽M) Time-series heatmap of the log₂ FC values of genes differentially expressed in GL11 OE neural cells relative to control at day 5 and at day 10.

⁽N) Boxplots showing a time series of the mean \log_2 fold change of genes activated and repressed by GL11 OE in neural cells at day 5 and day 10, relative to all genes. * $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.005$.



proliferation, and mammalian organ morphogenesis (Agathocleous et al., 2007; Briscoe and Thérond, 2013; Ingham and Placzek, 2006; Jiang and Hui, 2008; Kaldis and Richardson, 2012; Lee et al., 2016; Liu and Ngan, 2014). Global disruption of Hh signaling or GLI TFs cause pleiotropic developmental syndromes including VACTERL syndrome, Gorlin Syndrome, holoprosencephaly, and Greig cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome (Friedland-Little et al., 2011; Hui and Angers, 2011; McMahon et al., 2003; Ngan et al., 2013; Nieuwenhuis and Hui, 2005). Further, Hh signaling has been implicated in the maintenance of adult stem cells required for organ homeostasis (Beachy et al., 2004a; Petrova and Joyner, 2014; Roy and Ingham, 2002). A heterochronic role maintaining progenitor status is also consistent with the described role for Hh signaling in injury-induced regeneration of the heart (Kawagishi et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2015), bladder (Shin et al., 2011), prostate (Karhadkar et al., 2004), bone (Miyaji et al., 2003), tooth (Zhao et al., 2014), liver (Ochoa et al., 2010), and lung (Peng et al., 2015; Watkins et al., 2003). Although controlled Hh signaling in adult stem cells has been associated with organ homeostasis and regeneration, uncontrolled Hh activation promotes cancer, including medulloblastoma, basal cell carcinoma, and rhabdomyosarcoma (Hooper and Scott, 2005; Jiang and Hui, 2008; Ng and Curran, 2011; Taipale and Beachy, 2001; Wicking et al., 1999). Hh signaling in cancer has been hypothesized to function through promotion of cancer stem cells (Beachy et al., 2004; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2002; Teglund and Toftgård, 2010). However, in most of these contexts, the transcriptional networks activated by the GLI TFs remain to be elucidated. Therefore, whether previously observed Hh-dependent phenomenology reflects heterochronic control of progenitor status or direct molecular control of other developmental events remain unclear.

Integrating the heterochronic role of Hh signaling in differentiation timing control with the additional roles of Hh signaling may bring insight to complex organogenesis. In addition to direct GLI1-dependent control of developmental TFs and signaling components, we identified GLI1 GRN enhancers near cell adhesion and migration genes. Coordinated GLI1-dependent control of CP cell motility and differentiation status is a logical possibility in heart development, given the requirement that Hh-receiving progenitors migrate from the Hh-receiving SHF into the HT to escape Hh signal, undergo a GLIA-to-GLIR switch and initiate differentiation. Hh-dependent GRNs directly affect cell fate determination or patterning in some developmental contexts, including the limb and neural tube (Lei et al., 2006; Oosterveen et al., 2012; Peterson et al., 2012; Sasaki et al., 1997; Vokes et al., 2007, 2008). Description of coincident, distinct Hh-dependent GRNs that control patterning and differentiation timing suggests that the transcriptional basis of these two developmental phenomena may be linked. Sub-domains of the neural tube and limb with the highest Hh activity sustain high expression of progenitor-specific genes relative to Hh-deficient domains (Delile et al., 2019; Reinhardt et al., 2019). As in the pSHF, GLI^A TFs in the neural tube drive expression of repressive TFs that prevent the acquisition of gene expression for alternative cell fates (Kutejova et al., 2016). Interestingly, Hh-dependent transcriptional repression of neural differentiation effector genes is also observed in the neural tube, suggesting a possible coinci-

Developmental Cell Article

dent heterochronic role (Balaskas et al., 2012; Kutejova et al., 2016). Dissection of the heterochronic function of Hh signaling from alternate Hh-dependent developmental mechanisms will require further investigation of the overlapping and distinct Hh/ GLI-dependent GRNs in each context.

Signal-dependent TFs often bind accessible cis-regulatory elements previously occupied by lineage-determining TFs (Carroll et al., 2005; Heinz et al., 2010; John et al., 2011; Magnani et al., 2011; Mukherjee et al., 2020; Mullen et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2011; Trompouki et al., 2011). By contrast, we found that GLI1 primarily bound to genomic locations that were inaccessible at the time of GLI1 deployment in mESC-CPs. The binding of GLI1 subsequently caused increased accessibility and H3K27ac deposition, establishing a de novo GRN in Hh-naive CPs. Consistent with these observations, GLI TFs employ C2H2 zinc-finger domains to bind DNA, motifs that are among the most capable of binding nucleosomal DNA (Zhu et al., 2018). GLI^A TFs have been shown to activate gene expression through interactions with chromatin remodelers, including the SWI/SNF complex in the developing limb bud and in Hh-dependent cancers (Jagani et al., 2010; Jeon and Seong, 2016; Shi et al., 2016; Zhan et al., 2011), as well as histone-modifying complexes (Lex et al., 2020; Malatesta et al., 2013; Mazzà et al., 2013; Nye et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2014). Our observations also implicate GLI TFs in signal-dependent recruitment of chromatin-remodeling complexes to the GLI1 GRN during heterochronic control of cardiac differentiation.

The concept of heterochrony was originally applied in evolutionary biology to explain the ontogeny of distinct morphological features between species (Smith, 2003). Heterochrony may play such a role in the evolution of heart development. Cardiac structures generated by the FHF, such as the left ventricle, are evolutionarily ancient compared with those generated by the SHF, such as the right ventricle, pulmonary OFT, and the atrial septum (Kelly, 2012). SHF-derived structures are predominately required for the efficient handling of pulmonary circulation, and interestingly, the lungs are the source of Hh ligand received by the SHF CPs (Goddeeris et al., 2008; Hoffmann et al., 2009). Activation of the GLI1-dependent GRN in vivo, in coordination with other critical progenitor-specific signaling pathways such as RA signaling (Li et al., 2010; Rankin et al., 2021), appears to prevent the premature emergence of the CM gene expression program and thereby delay cardiomyocyte differentiation. We speculate that Hh/GLI signaling may have been deployed during cardiopulmonary evolution as a heterochronic mechanism in lunged animals, delaying SHF differentiation to enable morphogenesis of the cardiovascular structures required for efficient cardiopulmonary circulation. In this way, differentiation timing controlled by cell non-autonomous Hh signaling provides a plausible mechanism for inter-organ coordination of heart and lung development during evolution. We further speculate that Hh-dependent heterochronic GRNs may have been similarly deployed across contexts to delay lineagespecified progenitor differentiation and coordinate the evolution of complex organ development.

Limitations of the study

We have chosen to engineer mESC lines to overexpress either GLI1, an obligate activator modeling GLI^A TFs, or GLI3^R, the truncated repressor form of GLI3 modeling GLI^R TFs, to avoid the complications of post-translational GLI protein truncations (Dai

Article

et al., 1999; Hui and Angers, 2011; Lee et al., 1997; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007). This simplification does not consider specific contributions of GLI2 or complex GLI interactions. Attempts to study the activity of an embryonic signaling pathway *in vitro* are unavoidably limited by overly simplistic models of intercellular communication. Overexpressing GLI1 or GLI3^R *in vitro* does not fully model the complex signaling pathway interactions of the pSHF (Rochais et al., 2009). Furthermore, we focused on the pSHF, which avoids interactions with the neural crest that may affect anterior SHF (aSHF) differentiation dynamics.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**
 - Lead contact
 - Materials availability
 - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Mice
 - Transgenic mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) lines
- METHOD DETAILS
 - CD1 embryo Drop-seq analysis
 - mESC cardiomyocyte differentiation culture and GLI1 OE experiments
 - Identification of GLI1 and GLI3^R direct targets in CPs
 - Chromatin accessibility profiling of GLI1-FTA mESC-CPs and embryonic tissues
 - Immunoblotting
 - Luciferase assays
 - Transient transgenics
 - Embryo immunofluorescence and histology
 - Bioinformatic analysis of developmental time series RNA-seq data
 - mESC neuronal differentiation culture and GLI1 OE
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2022.08.009.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are deeply grateful to the staff of the Genomics, DNA Sequencing, Integrated Light Microscopy, Cytometry, and Antibody Technology and Human Tissue Resource Center core facilities at the University of Chicago. This work was supported by the NIH/NHLBI: R01 HL092153 (I.P.M.), R01 HL124836 (I.P.M.), R01 HL126509-02(I.P.M.), R01 HL147571-01 (I.P.M.), NIH/NHLBI NRSA T32 HL007381-36/37 (M.R.), and NIH/NHLBI F32 HL136168-01 (M.R.). This work was also supported by the American Heart Association: AHA 13EIA14690081 (I.P.M.) and AHA Postdoctoral Fellowship 17POST33670937 (M.R.). I.P.M. is grateful to Raghu and Rashida Mendu for their support of this project.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.R. designed and performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. C.P.-C. and S.P. also designed experiments. J.J.-L., A.G., J.D.S., A.D.H.,



S.L., S.O., H.E., and M. Koska performed *in vivo* experiments. S.H., J.J.-L., N.D., E.L., M.S., C.K., S.Y., and E.H. performed *in vitro* experiments. M.R., S.P., C.P.-C., X.H.Y., and K.I. performed computational analyses. S.S.K.C. and M. Kyba generated the GLI1-FTA and GLI3^R-FTA mESC lines. D.J.G., S.P., and A.B. designed the experiments. I.P.M. designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: January 14, 2022 Revised: June 24, 2022 Accepted: August 22, 2022 Published: September 14, 2022

REFERENCES

Agathocleous, M., Locker, M., Harris, W.A., and Perron, M. (2007). A general role of hedgehog in the regulation of proliferation. Cell Cycle *6*, 156–159. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.6.2.3745.

Akerberg, B.N., Gu, F., VanDusen, N.J., Zhang, X., Dong, R., Li, K., Zhang, B., Zhou, B., Sethi, I., Ma, Q., et al. (2019). A reference map of murine cardiac transcription factor chromatin occupancy identifies dynamic and conserved enhancers. Nat. Commun. *10*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12812-3.

Ambros, V., and Horvitz, H.R. (1984). Heterochronic mutants of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Science 226, 409–416. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6494891.

Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data [online]. Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

Averbukh, I., Lai, S.L., Doe, C.Q., and Barkai, N. (2018). A repressor-decay timer for robust temporal patterning in embryonic drosophila neuroblast lineages. eLife 7, e38631. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38631.

Bademci, G., Abad, C., Incesulu, A., Elian, F., Reyahi, A., Diaz-Horta, O., Cengiz, F.B., Sineni, C.J., Seyhan, S., Atli, E.I., et al. (2019). FOXF2 is required for cochlear development in humans and mice. Hum. Mol. Genet. *28*, 1286–1297. https://doi.org/10.1093/HMG/DDY431.

Balaskas, N., Ribeiro, A., Panovska, J., Dessaud, E., Sasai, N., Page, K.M., Briscoe, J., and Ribes, V. (2012). Gene regulatory logic for reading the sonic hedgehog signaling gradient in the vertebrate neural tube. Cell *148*, 273–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2011.10.047.

Bax, N.A.M., Lie-Venema, H., Vicente-Steijn, R., Bleyl, S.B., Van Den Akker, N.M.S., Maas, S., Poelmann, R.E., and Gittenberger-de Groot, A.C. (2009). Platelet-derived growth factor is involved in the differentiation of second heart field-derived cardiac structures in chicken embryos. Dev. Dyn. 238, 2658–2669. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.22073.

Baydyuk, M., Russell, T., Liao, G.Y., Zang, K., An, J.J., Reichardt, L.F., and Xu, B. (2011). TrkB receptor controls striatal formation by regulating the number of newborn striatal neurons. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 1669–1674. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1004744108.

Beachy, P.A., Karhadkar, S.S., and Berman, D.M. (2004a). Tissue repair and stem cell renewal in carcinogenesis. Nature *432*, 324–331. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature03100.

Bedont, J.L., LeGates, T.A., Slat, E.A., Byerly, M.S., Wang, H., Hu, J., Rupp, A.C., Qian, J., Wong, G.W., Herzog, E.D., et al. (2014). Lhx1 controls terminal differentiation and circadian function of the suprachiasmatic nucleus. Cell Rep. 7, 609–622. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2014.03.060.

Belgacem, Y.H., Hamilton, A.M., Shim, S., Spencer, K.A., and Borodinsky, L.N. (2016). The many hats of sonic hedgehog signaling in nervous system development and disease. J. Dev. Biol. *4*, 35. https://doi.org/10.3390/jdb4040035.

Bergsland, M., Werme, M., Malewicz, M., Perlmann, T., and Muhr, J. (2006). The establishment of neuronal properties is controlled by Sox4 and Sox11. Genes Dev. *20*, 3475–3486. https://doi.org/10.1101/GAD.403406.



Bernstein, B.E., Stamatoyannopoulos, J.A., Costello, J.F., Ren, B., Milosavljevic, A., Meissner, A., Kellis, M., Marra, M.A., Beaudet, A.L., Ecker, J.R., et al. (2010). The NIH roadmap epigenomics mapping Consortium. Nat. Biotechnol. *28*, 1045–1048. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1010-1045.

Bertrand, N., Roux, M., Ryckebüsch, L., Niederreither, K., Dollé, P., Moon, A., Capecchi, M., and Zaffran, S. (2011). Hox genes define distinct progenitor subdomains within the second heart field. Dev. Biol. 353, 266–274. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.02.029.

Briggs, L.E., Burns, T.A., Lockhart, M.M., Phelps, A.L., van den Hoff, M.J.B., and Wessels, A. (2016). Wnt/ β -catenin and sonic hedgehog pathways interact in the regulation of the development of the dorsal mesenchymal protrusion. Dev. Dyn. 245, 103–113.

Briggs, L.E., Kakarla, J., and Wessels, A. (2012). The pathogenesis of atrial and atrioventricular septal defects with special emphasis on the role of the dorsal mesenchymal protrusion. Differentiation. *84*, 117–130.

Briscoe, J., Pierani, A., Jessell, T.M., and Ericson, J. (2000). A homeodomain protein code specifies progenitor cell identity and neuronal fate in the ventral neural tube. Cell *101*, 435–445. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00) 80853-3.

Briscoe, J., and Thérond, P.P. (2013). The mechanisms of Hedgehog signalling and its roles in development and disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 14, 416–429.

Brown, S.A. (2014). Circadian clock-mediated control of stem cell division and differentiation: beyond night and day. Development *141*, 3105–3111. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.104851.

Bruneau, B.G. (2013). Signaling and transcriptional networks in heart development and regeneration. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 5, a008292. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a008292.

Buenrostro, J.D., Giresi, P.G., Zaba, L.C., Chang, H.Y., and Greenleaf, W.J. (2013). Transposition of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-binding proteins and nucleosome position. Nat. Methods *10*, 1213–1218.

Burnicka-Turek, O., Steimle, J.D., Huang, W., Felker, L., Kamp, A., Kweon, J., Peterson, M., Reeves, R.H., Maslen, C.L., Gruber, P.J., et al. (2016). Cilia gene mutations cause atrioventricular septal defects by multiple mechanisms | Human Molecular Genetics | Oxford Academic. Hum. Mol. Genet. *25*, 3011–3028.

Cai, C., and Grabel, L. (2007). Directing the differentiation of embryonic stem cells to neural stem cells. Dev. Dyn. 236, 3255–3266. https://doi.org/10. 1002/DVDY.21306.

Cai, C.-L., Liang, X., Shi, Y., Chu, P.-H., Pfaff, S.L., Chen, J., and Evans, S. (2003). IsI1 identifies a cardiac progenitor population that proliferates prior to differentiation and contributes a majority of cells to the heart. Dev. Cell *5*, 877–889.

Carcagno, A.L., Di Bella, D.J., Goulding, M., Guillemot, F., and Lanuza, G.M. (2014). Neurogenin3 restricts serotonergic neuron differentiation to the hindbrain. J. Neurosci. *34*, 15223. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3403-14.2014.

Carden, M.J., Trojanowski, J.Q., Schlaepfer, W.W., and Lee, V.M. (1987). Twostage expression of neurofilament polypeptides during rat neurogenesis with early establishment of adult phosphorylation patterns. J. Neurosci. 7, 3489– 3504. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.07-11-03489.1987.

Carroll, J.S., Liu, X.S., Brodsky, A.S., Li, W., Meyer, C.A., Szary, A.J., Eeckhoute, J., Shao, W., Hestermann, E.V., Geistlinger, T.R., et al. (2005). Chromosome-wide mapping of estrogen receptor binding reveals long-range regulation requiring the forkhead protein FoxA1. Cell *122*, 33–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.008.

Creyghton, M.P., Cheng, A.W., Welstead, G.G., Kooistra, T., Carey, B.W., Steine, E.J., Hanna, J., Lodato, M.A., Frampton, G.M., Sharp, P.A., et al. (2010). Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts developmental state. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *107*, 21931–21936. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1016071107.

Dai, P., Akimaru, H., Tanaka, Y., Maekawa, T., Nakafuku, M., and Ishii, S. (1999). Sonic hedgehog-induced activation of the Gli1Promoter is mediated by GLI3. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 8143–8152.

Datto, M.B., Frederick, J.P., Pan, L., Borton, A.J., Zhuang, Y., and Wang, X.F. (1999). Targeted disruption of Smad3 reveals an essential role in transforming growth factor β -mediated signal transduction. Mol. Cell. Biol. *19*, 2495–2504. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.19.4.2495.

de Soysa, T.Y., Ranade, S.S., Okawa, S., Ravichandran, S., Huang, Y., Salunga, H.T., Schricker, A., del Sol, A., Gifford, C.A., and Srivastava, D. (2019). Single-cell analysis of cardiogenesis reveals basis for organ-level developmental defects. Nature 572, 120–124. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1414-x.

Delile, J., Rayon, T., Melchionda, M., Edwards, A., Briscoe, J., and Sagner, A. (2019). Single cell transcriptomics reveals spatial and temporal dynamics of gene expression in the developing mouse spinal cord. Development *146*, dev173807. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.173807.

Dessaud, E., McMahon, A.P., and Briscoe, J. (2008). Pattern formation in the vertebrate neural tube: a sonic hedgehog morphogen-regulated transcriptional network. Development *135*, 2489–2503. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 009324.

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

Durand, B., and Raff, M. (2000). A cell-intrinsic timer that operates during oligodendrocyte development. BioEssays 22, 64–71. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI) 1521-1878.

Dyer, L.A., and Kirby, M.L. (2009). Sonic hedgehog maintains proliferation in secondary heart field progenitors and is required for normal arterial pole formation. Dev. Biol. *330*, 305–317.

Ebisuya, M., and Briscoe, J. (2018). What does time mean in development? Development *145*, dev164368. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.164368.

ENCODE Project Consortium (2012). An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature 489, 57–74.

Ericson, J., Morton, S., Kawakami, A., Roelink, H., and Jessell, T.M. (1996). Two critical periods of sonic hedgehog signaling required for the specification of motor neuron identity. Cell *87*, 661–673. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81386-0.

Friedland-Little, J.M., Hoffmann, A.D., Ocbina, P.J.R., Peterson, M.A., Bosman, J.D., Chen, Y., Cheng, S.Y., Anderson, K.V., and Moskowitz, I.P. (2011). A novel murine allele of Intraflagellar Transport Protein 172 causes a syndrome including VACTERL-like features with hydrocephalus. Hum. Mol. Genet. *20*, 3725–3737.

Fuccillo, M., Joyner, A.L., and Fishell, G. (2006). Morphogen to mitogen: the multiple roles of hedgehog signalling in vertebrate neural development. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 7, 772–783. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1990.

Fujii, M., Sakaguchi, A., Kamata, R., Nagao, M., Kikuchi, Y., Evans, S.M., Yoshizumi, M., Shimono, A., Saga, Y., and Kokubo, H. (2017). Sfrp5 identifies murine cardiac progenitors for all myocardial structures except for the right ventricle. Nat. Commun. *8*, 14664. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14664.

Gascard, P., Bilenky, M., Sigaroudinia, M., Zhao, J., Li, L., Carles, A., Delaney, A., Tam, A., Kamoh, B., Cho, S., et al. (2015). Epigenetic and transcriptional determinants of the human breast. Nat. Commun. *6*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10. 1038/ncomms7351.

Gentile, A., Bensimon-Brito, A., Priya, R., Maischein, H.M., Piesker, J., Guenther, S., Gunawan, F., and Stainier, D.Y.R. (2021). The emt transcription factor snai1 maintains myocardial wall integrity by repressing intermediate filament gene expression. eLife *10*, e66143. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.66143.

Gitler, A.D., Lu, M.M., and Epstein, J.A. (2004). PlexinD1 and semaphorin signaling are required in endothelial cells for cardiovascular development. Dev. Cell 7, 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DEVCEL.2004.06.002.

Goddeeris, M.M., Rho, S., Petiet, A., Davenport, C.L., Johnson, G.A., Meyers, E.N., and Klingensmith, J. (2008). Intracardiac septation requires hedgehogdependent cellular contributions from outside the heart. Development *135*, 1887–1895.

Goddeeris, M.M., Schwartz, R., Klingensmith, J., and Meyers, E.N. (2007). Independent requirements for Hedgehog signaling by both the anterior heart

Article



field and neural crest cells for outflow tract development. Development *134*, 1593–1604.

Gomez, C., Özbudak, E.M., Wunderlich, J., Baumann, D., Lewis, J., and Pourquié, O. (2008). Control of segment number in vertebrate embryos. Nature 454, 335–339.

Gu, C., Yoshida, Y., Livet, J., Reimert, D.V., Mann, F., Merte, J., Henderson, C.E., Jessell, T.M., Kolodkin, A.L., and Ginty, D.D. (2005). Semaphorin 3E and plexin-D1 control vascular pattern independently of neuropilins. Science 307, 265–268. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105416.

Gu, Z., Eils, R., and Schlesner, M. (2016). Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics *32*, 2847–2849. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313.

Haghverdi, L., Büttner, M., Wolf, F.A., Buettner, F., and Theis, F.J. (2016). Diffusion pseudotime robustly reconstructs lineage branching. Nat. Methods *13*, 845–848. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3971.

Han, L., Chaturvedi, P., Kishimoto, K., Koike, H., Nasr, T., Iwasawa, K., Giesbrecht, K., Witcher, P.C., Eicher, A., Haines, L., et al. (2020). Single cell transcriptomics identifies a signaling network coordinating endoderm and mesoderm diversification during foregut organogenesis. Nat. Commun. *11*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17968-x.

Han, L., Koike, H., Chaturvedi, P., Kishimoto, K., Iwasawa, K., Giesbrecht, K., Witcher, P., Eicher, A., Nasr, T., Haines, L., et al. (2019). Single cell transcriptomics reveals a signaling road map coordinating endoderm and mesoderm lineage diversification during foregut organogenesis. bioRxiv756825. https://doi.org/10.1101/756825.

Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W.M., Zheng, S., Butler, A., Lee, M.J., Wilk, A.J., Darby, C., Zager, M., et al. (2021). Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell *184*, 3573–3587.e29. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.CELL.2021.04.048.

Harima, Y., Takashima, Y., Ueda, Y., Ohtsuka, T., and Kageyama, R. (2013). Accelerating the tempo of the segmentation clock by reducing the number of introns in the Hes7 gene. Cell Rep 3, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep. 2012.11.012.

Hasenpusch-Theil, K., West, S., Kelman, A., Kozic, Z., Horrocks, S., McMahon, A.P., Price, D.J., Mason, J.O., and Theil, T. (2018). Gli3 controls the onset of cortical neurogenesis by regulating the radial glial cell cycle through Cdk6 expression. Development *145*. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 163147.

Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y.C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J.X., Murre, C., Singh, H., and Glass, C.K. (2010). Simple combinations of lineagedetermining transcription factors prime *cis*-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol. Cell *38*, 576–589. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.molcel.2010.05.004.

Herzog, V.A., Reichholf, B., Neumann, T., Rescheneder, P., Bhat, P., Burkard, T.R., Wlotzka, W., Von Haeseler, A., Zuber, J., and Ameres, S.L. (2017). Thiollinked alkylation of RNA to assess expression dynamics. Nat. Methods *14*, 1198–1204. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4435.

Hoffmann, A.D., Peterson, M.A., Friedland-Little, J.M., Anderson, S.A., and Moskowitz, I.P. (2009). sonic hedgehog is required in pulmonary endoderm for atrial septation. Development *136*, 1761–1770.

Hoffmann, A.D., Yang, X.H., Burnicka-Turek, O., Bosman, J.D., Ren, X., Steimle, J.D., Vokes, S.A., McMahon, A.P., Kalinichenko, V.V., and Moskowitz, I.P. (2014). Foxf genes integrate Tbx5 and hedgehog pathways in the second heart field for cardiac septation. PLoS Genet. *10*, e1004604.

Hooper, J.E., and Scott, M.P. (2005). Communicating with hedgehogs. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 6, 306–317. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1622.

Hoshiba, Y., Toda, T., Ebisu, H., Wakimoto, M., Yanagi, S., and Kawasaki, H. (2016). Sox11 balances dendritic morphogenesis with neuronal migration in the developing cerebral cortex. J. Neurosci. *36*, 5775–5784. https://doi.org/ 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3250-15.2016.

Hu, M.C., Mo, R., Bhella, S., Wilson, C.W., Chuang, P.T., Hui, C.C., and Rosenblum, N.D. (2006). GLI3-dependent transcriptional repression of Gli1, Gli2 and kidney patterning genes disrupts renal morphogenesis. Development *133*, 569–578. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02220.

Huangfu, D., Liu, A., Rakeman, A.S., Murcia, N.S., Niswander, L., and Anderson, K.V. (2003). Hedgehog signalling in the mouse requires intraflagellar transport proteins. Nature *426*, 83–87. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02061.

Hubaud, A., and Pourquié, O. (2014). Signalling dynamics in vertebrate segmentation. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *15*, 709–721. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrm3891.

Hui, C.C., and Angers, S. (2011). Gli proteins in development and disease. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 27, 513–537. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154048.

Hutson, M.R., Zeng, X.L., Kim, A.J., Antoon, E., Harward, S., and Kirby, M.L. (2010). Arterial pole progenitors interpret opposing FGF/BMP signals to proliferate or differentiate. Development *137*, 3001–3011. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.051565.

lacovino, M., Bosnakovski, D., Fey, H., Rux, D., Bajwa, G., Mahen, E., Mitanoska, A., Xu, Z., and Kyba, M. (2011). Inducible cassette exchange: A rapid and efficient system enabling conditional gene expression in embryonic stem and primary cells. Stem Cells *29*, 1580–1588. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.715.

Ikegami, K., Secchia, S., Almakki, O., Lieb, J.D., and Moskowitz, I.P. (2020). Phosphorylated Lamin A/C in the nuclear interior binds active enhancers associated with abnormal transcription in progeria. Dev. Cell *52*, 699–713.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DEVCEL.2020.02.011.

Imayoshi, I., Isomura, A., Harima, Y., Kawaguchi, K., Kori, H., Miyachi, H., Fujiwara, T., Ishidate, F., and Kageyama, R. (2013). Oscillatory control of factors determining multipotency and fate in mouse neural progenitors. Science *342*, 1203–1208. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242366.

Ingham, P.W., and Placzek, M. (2006). Orchestrating ontogenesis: variations on a theme by sonic hedgehog. Nat. Rev. Genet. 7, 841–850. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1969.

Inoue, J., Ueda, Y., Bando, T., Mito, T., Noji, S., and Ohuchi, H. (2013). The expression of LIM-homeobox genes, Lhx1 and Lhx5, in the forebrain is essential for neural retina differentiation. Dev. Growth Differ. *55*, 668–675. https://doi.org/10.1111/DGD.12074.

Jagani, Z., Mora-Blanco, E.L., Sansam, C.G., McKenna, E.S., Wilson, B., Chen, D., Klekota, J., Tamayo, P., Nguyen, P.T.L., Tolstorukov, M., et al. (2010). Loss of the tumor suppressor Snf5 leads to aberrant activation of the Hedgehog-Gli pathway. Nat. Med. *16*, 1429–1433.

Jain, R., Li, D., Gupta, M., Manderfield, L.J., Ifkovits, J.L., Wang, Q., Liu, F., Liu, Y., Poleshko, A., Padmanabhan, A., et al. (2015). HEART DEVELOPMENT. Integration of Bmp and Wnt signaling by Hopx specifies commitment of cardiomyoblasts. Science *348*, aaa6071.

Jarrar, W., Dias, J.M., Ericson, J., Arnold, H.H., and Holz, A. (2015). Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 are the key regulators to determine cell fate of branchial and visceral motor neurons in caudal hindbrain. PLoS One *10*, e0124408. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0124408.

Jeon, S., and Seong, R.H. (2016). Anteroposterior limb skeletal patterning requires the bifunctional action of SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex in hedgehog pathway. PLoS Genet *12*, e1005915.

Jepsen, K., Hermanson, O., Onami, T.M., Gleiberman, A.S., Lunyak, V., McEvilly, R.J., Kurokawa, R., Kumar, V., Liu, F., Seto, E., et al. (2000). Combinatorial roles of the nuclear receptor corepressor in transcription and development. Cell *102*, 753–763. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00) 00064-7.

Jessell, T.M. (2000). Neuronal specification in the spinal cord:inductive signals and transcriptional codes. Nat. Rev. Genet. 1, 20–29.

Jiang, J., and Hui, C.C. (2008). Hedgehog signaling in development and cancer. Dev. Cell *15*, 801–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2008.11.010.

John, S., Sabo, P.J., Thurman, R.E., Sung, M.H., Biddie, S.C., Johnson, T.A., Hager, G.L., and Stamatoyannopoulos, J.A. (2011). Chromatin accessibility pre-determines glucocorticoid receptor binding patterns. Nat. Genet. *43*, 264–268. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.759.

Kaldis, P., and Richardson, H.E. (2012). When cell cycle meets development. Development *139*, 225–230. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.073288.



Karhadkar, S.S., Bova, G.S., Abdallah, N., Dhara, S., Gardner, D., Maitra, A., Isaacs, J.T., Berman, D.M., and Beachy, P.A. (2004). Hedgehog signalling in prostate regeneration, neoplasia and metastasis. Nature *431*, 707–712. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02962.

Kattman, S.J., Witty, A.D., Gagliardi, M., Dubois, N.C., Niapour, M., Hotta, A., Ellis, J., and Keller, G. (2011). Stage-specific optimization of activin/nodal and BMP signaling promotes cardiac differentiation of mouse and human pluripotent stem cell lines. Cell Stem Cell *8*, 228–240.

Kawagishi, H., Xiong, J., Rovira, I.I., Pan, H., Yan, Y., Fleischmann, B.K., Yamada, M., and Finkel, T. (2018). Sonic hedgehog signaling regulates the mammalian cardiac regenerative response. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *123*, 180–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2018.09.005.

Kelly, R.G. (2012). The second heart field. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 100, 33-65.

Kelly, R.G., Brown, N.A., and Buckingham, M.E. (2001). The arterial pole of the mouse heart forms from FGF10-expressing cells in pharyngeal mesoderm. Dev. Cell *1*, 435–440.

Kelly, R.G., Buckingham, M.E., and Moorman, A.F. (2014). Heart fields and cardiac morphogenesis. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. *4*, a015750. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a015750.

Kent, W.J., Sugnet, C.W., Furey, T.S., Roskin, K.M., Pringle, T.H., Zahler, A.M., and Haussler, D. (2002). The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res *12*, 996–1006. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.229102.

Kitamura, K., Miura, H., Miyagawa-Tomita, S., Yanazawa, M., Katoh-Fukui, Y., Suzuki, R., Ohuchi, H., Suehiro, A., Motegi, Y., Nakahara, Y., et al. (1999). Mouse Pitx2 deficiency leads to anomalies of the ventral body wall, heart, extra- and periocular mesoderm and right pulmonary isomerism. Development *126*, 5749–5758.

Kohwi, M., and Doe, C.Q. (2013). Temporal fate specification and neural progenitor competence during development. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *14*, 823–838. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3618.

Kothary, R., Clapoff, S., Darling, S., Perry, M.D., Moran, L.A., and Rossant, J. (1989). Inducible expression of an hsp68-lacZ hybrid gene in transgenic mice. Development *105*, 707–714.

Kutejova, E., Sasai, N., Shah, A., Gouti, M., and Briscoe, J. (2016). Neural progenitors adopt specific identities by directly repressing all alternative progenitor transcriptional programs. Dev. Cell *36*, 639–653.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods 9, 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.

Lanier, J., Dykes, I.M., Nissen, S., Eng, S.R., and Turner, E.E. (2009). Brn3a regulates the transition from neurogenesis to terminal differentiation and represses non-neural gene expression in the trigeminal ganglion. Dev. Dyn. 238, 3065–3079. https://doi.org/10.1002/DVDY.22145.

Lee, J., Platt, K.A., Censullo, P., and Ruiz i Altaba, A. (1997). Gli1 is a target of Sonic hedgehog that induces ventral neural tube development. Development 124, 2537–2552.

Lee, R.T.H., Zhao, Z., and Ingham, P.W. (2016). Hedgehog signalling. Development 143, 367–372. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.120154.

Lei, Q., Jeong, Y., Misra, K., Li, S., Zelman, A.K., Epstein, D.J., and Matise, M.P. (2006). Wht signaling inhibitors regulate the transcriptional response to morphogenetic Shh-gli signaling in the neural tube. Dev. Cell *11*, 325–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.06.013.

Lescroart, F., Chabab, S., Lin, X., Rulands, S., Paulissen, C., Rodolosse, A., Auer, H., Achouri, Y., Dubois, C., Bondue, A., et al. (2014). Early lineage restriction in temporally distinct populations of Mesp1 progenitors during mammalian heart development. Nat. Cell Biol. *16*, 829–840.

Lex, R.K., Ji, Z., Falkenstein, K.N., Zhou, W., Henry, J.L., Ji, H., and Vokes, S.A. (2020). GLI transcriptional repression regulates tissue-specific enhancer activity in response to hedgehog signaling. eLife *9*, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.50670.

Li, C.F., Chen, J.Y., Ho, Y.H., Hsu, W.H., Wu, L.C., Lan, H.Y., Hsu, D.S.S., Tai, S.K., Chang, Y.C., and Yang, M.H. (2019). Snail-induced claudin-11 prompts collective migration for tumour progression. Nat. Cell Biol. *21*, 251–262. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0268-z. Li, P., Pashmforoush, M., and Sucov, H.M. (2010). Retinoic acid regulates differentiation of the secondary heart field and TGF β -mediated outflow tract septation. Dev. Cell *18*, 480–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.12.019.

Lister, R., Pelizzola, M., Dowen, R.H., Hawkins, R.D., Hon, G., Tonti-Filippini, J., Nery, J.R., Lee, L., Ye, Z., Ngo, Q.M., et al. (2009). Human DNA methylomes at base resolution show widespread epigenomic differences. Nature *462*, 315–322. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08514.

Liu, C., Liu, W., Palie, J., Lu, M.F., Brown, N.A., and Martin, J.F. (2002). Pitx2c patterns anterior myocardium and aortic arch vessels and is required for local cell movement into atrioventricular cushions. Development *129*, 5081–5091

Liu, C., Wang, M., Wei, X., Wu, L., Xu, J., Dai, X., Xia, J., Cheng, M., Yuan, Y., Zhang, P., et al. (2019). An ATAC-seq atlas of chromatin accessibility in mouse tissues. Sci. Data *61*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0071-0.

Liu, J.A., and Ngan, E.S.-W. (2014). Hedgehog and Notch signaling in enteric nervous system development. Neurosignals 22, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1159/000356305.

Lu, J., Richardson, J.A., and Olson, E.N. (1998). Capsulin: A novel bHLH transcription factor expressed in epicardial progenitors and mesenchyme of visceral organs. Mech. Dev. 73, 23–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773(98)00030-6.

Macosko, E.Z., Basu, A., Satija, R., Nemesh, J., Shekhar, K., Goldman, M., Tirosh, I., Bialas, A.R., Kamitaki, N., Martersteck, E.M., et al. (2015). Highly parallel genome-wide expression profiling of individual cells using nanoliter droplets. Cell *161*, 1202–1214.

Magnani, L., Ballantyne, E.B., Zhang, X., and Lupien, M. (2011). PBX1 genomic pioneer function drives ERa signaling underlying progression in breast cancer. PLOS Genet 7, e1002368. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1002368.

Malatesta, M., Steinhauer, C., Mohammad, F., Pandey, D.P., Squatrito, M., and Helin, K. (2013). Histone acetyltransferase PCAF is required for hedgehog-Gli-dependent transcription and cancer cell proliferation. Cancer Res 73, 6323–6333. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-4660.

Marler, K.J.M., Becker-Barroso, E., Martínez, A., Llovera, M., Wentzel, C.,
Poopalasundaram, S., Hindges, R., Soriano, E., Comella, J., and Drescher,
U. (2008). A TrkB/EphrinA interaction controls retinal axon branching
and synaptogenesis. J. Neurosci. 28, 12700–12712. https://doi.org/10.
1523/JNEUROSCI.1915-08.2008.

Mazzà, D., Infante, P., Colicchia, V., Greco, A., Alfonsi, R., Siler, M., Antonucci, L., Po, A., De Smaele, E., Ferretti, E., et al. (2013). PCAF ubiquitin ligase activity inhibits Hedgehog/Gli1 signaling in p53-dependent response to geno-toxic stress. Cell Death Differ. 20, 1688–1697. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd. 2013.120.

McEvilly, R.J., Erkman, L., Luo, L., Sawchenko, P.E., Ryan, A.F., and Rosenfeld, M.G. (1996). Requirement for Brn-3.0 in differentiation and survival of sensory and motor neurons. Nature 384, 574–577. https://doi.org/10.1038/384574a0.

McMahon, A.P., Ingham, P.W., and Tabin, C.J. (2003). Developmental roles and clinical significance of Hedgehog signaling. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 53, 1–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0070-2153(03)53002-2.

Meilhac, S.M., Esner, M., Kelly, R.G., Nicolas, J.F., and Buckingham, M.E. (2004). The clonal origin of myocardial cells in different regions of the embryonic mouse heart. Dev. Cell 6, 685–698. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(04)00133-9.

Miyaji, T., Nakase, T., Iwasaki, M., Kuriyama, K., Tamai, N., Higuchi, C., Myoui, A., Tomita, T., and Yoshikawa, H. (2003). Expression and distribution of transcripts for sonic hedgehog in the early phase of fracture repair. Histochem. Cell Biol. *119*, 233–237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-003-0501-z.

Momen-Roknabadi, A., Di Talia, S., and Wieschaus, E. (2016). Transcriptional timers regulating mitosis in early drosophila embryos. Cell Rep *16*, 2793–2801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.034.

Moss, E.G. (2007). Heterochronic genes and the nature of developmental time. Curr. Biol. 17, R425–R434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.03.043.

Mugford, J.W., Sipilä, P., McMahon, J.A., and McMahon, A.P. (2008). Osr1 expression demarcates a multi-potent population of intermediate mesoderm

Article



that undergoes progressive restriction to an Osr1-dependent nephron progenitor compartment within the mammalian kidney. Dev. Biol. *324*, 88–98.

Mukherjee, S., Chaturvedi, P., Rankin, S.A., Fish, M.B., Wlizla, M., Paraiso, K.D., MacDonald, M., Chen, X., Weirauch, M.T., Blitz, I.L., et al. (2020). Sox17 and β -catenin co-occupy Wnt-responsive enhancers to govern the endoderm gene regulatory network. eLife *9*, e58029. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.58029.

Mullen, A.C., Orlando, D.A., Newman, J.J., Lovén, J., Kumar, R.M., Bilodeau, S., Reddy, J., Guenther, M.G., DeKoter, R.P., and Young, R.A. (2011). Master transcription factors determine cell-type-specific responses to $TGF-\beta$ signaling. Cell *147*, 565–576.

Neeb, Z., Lajiness, J.D., Bolanis, E., and Conway, S.J. (2013). Cardiac outflow tract anomalies. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol. 2, 499–530. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/wdev.98.

Ng, J.M.Y., and Curran, T. (2011). The Hedgehog's tale: developing strategies for targeting cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer *11*, 493–501. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3079.

Ngan, E.S.-W., Kim, K.-H., and Hui, C.C. (2013). Sonic hedgehog signaling and VACTERL association. Mol. Syndromol. *4*, 32–45. https://doi.org/10.1159/000345725.

Nieuwenhuis, E., and Hui, C.C. (2005). Hedgehog signaling and congenital malformations. Clin. Genet. 67, 193–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2004.00360.x.

Nik, A.M., Johansson, J.A., Ghiami, M., Reyahi, A., and Carlsson, P. (2016). Foxf2 is required for secondary palate development and Tgf β signaling in palatal shelf mesenchyme. Dev. Biol. *415*, 14–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. YDBIO.2016.05.013.

Nye, M.D., Almada, L.L., Fernandez-Barrena, M.G., Marks, D.L., Elsawa, S.F., Vrabel, A., Tolosa, E.J., Ellenrieder, V., and Fernandez-Zapico, M.E. (2014). The transcription factor GLI1 interacts with SMAD proteins to modulate transforming growth factor β -induced gene expression in a p300/CREB-binding protein-associated factor (PCAF)-dependent manner. J. Biol. Chem. *289*, 15495–15506. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.545194.

Ocbina, P.J.R., Eggenschwiler, J.T., Moskowitz, I., and Anderson, K.V. (2011). Complex interactions between genes controlling trafficking in primary cilia. Nat. Genet. *43*, 547–553. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.832.

Ochoa, B., Syn, W.K., Delgado, I., Karaca, G.F., Jung, Y., Wang, J., Zubiaga, A.M., Fresnedo, O., Omenetti, A., Zdanowicz, M., et al. (2010). Hedgehog signaling is critical for normal liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy in mice. Hepatology *51*, 1712–1723. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.23525.

Oosterveen, T., Kurdija, S., Alekseenko, Z., Uhde, C.W., Bergsland, M., Sandberg, M., Andersson, E., Dias, J.M., Muhr, J., and Ericson, J. (2012). Mechanistic differences in the transcriptional interpretation of local and long-range Shh morphogen signaling. Dev. Cell *23*, 1006–1019. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.devcel.2012.09.015.

Otani, T., Marchetto, M.C., Gage, F.H., Simons, B.D., and Livesey, F.J. (2016). 2D and 3D stem cell models of primate cortical development identify speciesspecific differences in progenitor behavior contributing to brain size. Cell Stem Cell 18, 467–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.03.003.

Pabst, O., Rummelies, J., Winter, B., and Arnold, H.H. (2003). Targeted disruption of the homeobox gene Nkx2.9 reveals a role in development of the spinal accessory nerve. Development *130*, 1193–1202. https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.00346.

Paul, M.H., Harvey, R.P., Wegner, M., and Sock, E. (2014). Cardiac outflow tract development relies on the complex function of Sox4 and Sox11 in multiple cell types. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. *71*, 2931–2945. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1523-x.

Pearson, B.J., and Doe, C.Q. (2004). Specification of temporal identity in the developing nervous system. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *20*, 619–647. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.19.111301.115142.

Peng, T., Frank, D.B., Kadzik, R.S., Morley, M.P., Rathi, K.S., Wang, T., Zhou, S., Cheng, L., Lu, M.M., and Morrisey, E.E. (2015). Hedgehog actively maintains adult lung quiescence and regulates repair and regeneration. Nature *526*, 578–582. Peng, T., Tian, Y., Boogerd, C.J., Lu, M.M., Kadzik, R.S., Stewart, K.M., Evans, S.M., and Morrisey, E.E. (2013). Coordination of heart and lung co-development by a multipotent cardiopulmonary progenitor. Nature *500*, 589–592.

Peterson, K.A., Nishi, Y., Ma, W., Vedenko, A., Shokri, L., Zhang, X., McFarlane, M., Baizabal, J.M., Junker, J.P., van Oudenaarden, A., et al. (2012). Neural-specific Sox2 input and differential Gli-binding affinity provide context and positional information in Shh-directed neural patterning. Genes Dev. 26, 2802–2816. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.207142.112.

Petrova, R., and Joyner, A.L. (2014). Roles for Hedgehog signaling in adult organ homeostasis and repair. Development *141*, 3445–3457.

Pourquié, O. (1998). Clocks regulating developmental processes. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 8, 665–670. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(98)80097-4.

Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics *26*, 841–842.

Rankin, S.A., Steimle, J.D., Yang, X.H., Rydeen, A.B., Agarwal, K., Chaturvedi, P., Ikegami, K., Herriges, M.J., Moskowitz, I.P., and Zorn, A.M. (2021). Tbx5 drives aldh1a2 expression to regulate a ra-hedgehog-wnt gene regulatory network coordinating cardiopulmonary development. eLife *10*, e69288. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69288.

Reinhardt, R., Gullotta, F., Nusspaumer, G., Ünal, E., Ivanek, R., Zuniga, A., and Zeller, R. (2019). Molecular signatures identify immature mesenchymal progenitors in early mouse limb buds that respond differentially to morphogen signaling. Development *146*, dev173328. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.173328.

Robb, L., Mifsud, L., Hartley, L., Biben, C., Copeland, N.G., Gilbert, D.J., Jenkins, N.A., and Harvey, R.P. (1998). epicardin: A novel basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor gene expressed in epicardium, branchial arch myoblasts, and mesenchyme of developing lung, gut, kidney, and gonads. Dev. Dyn. *213*, 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics *26*, 139–140.

Rochais, F., Mesbah, K., and Kelly, R.G. (2009). Signaling pathways controlling second heart field development. Circ. Res. *104*, 933–942.

Roy, S., and Ingham, P.W. (2002). Hedgehogs tryst with the cell cycle. J. Cell Sci. *115*, 4393–4397. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00158.

Ruiz i Altaba, A., Mas, C., and Stecca, B. (2007). The Gli code: an information nexus regulating cell fate, stemness and cancer. Trends Cell Biol *17*, 438–447. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TCB.2007.06.007.

Ruiz i Altaba, A., Sánchez, P., and Dahmane, N. (2002). Gli and hedgehog in cancer: tumours, embryos and stem cells. Nat. Rev. Cancer *2*, 361–372. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc796.

Sakurai, A., Gavard, J., Annas-Linhares, Y., Basile, J.R., Amornphimoltham, P., Palmby, T.R., Yagi, H., Zhang, F., Randazzo, P.A., Li, X., et al. (2010). Semaphorin 3E initiates antiangiogenic signaling through plexin D1 by regulating Arf6 and R-Ras. Mol. Cell. Biol. *30*, 3086–3098. https://doi.org/10. 1128/MCB.01652-09.

Sasai, N., Kutejova, E., and Briscoe, J. (2014). Integration of signals along orthogonal axes of the vertebrate neural tube controls progenitor competence and increases cell diversity. PLoS Biol *12*, e1001907. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001907.

Sasaki, H., Hui, C., Nakafuku, M., Kondoh, H., Michaud, J., Heng, H.H.-Q., Chik, K.W., Shi, X.-M., Tsui, L.-C., Cheng, S.H., et al. (1997). A binding site for Gli proteins is essential for HNF-3beta floor plate enhancer activity in transgenics and can respond to Shh in vitro. Dev. Camb. Engl. *124*, 1313–1322.

Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671–675.

Selewa, A., Dohn, R., Eckart, H., Lozano, S., Xie, B., Gauchat, E., Elorbany, R., Rhodes, K., Burnett, J., Gilad, Y., et al. (2020). Systematic comparison of high-throughput single-cell and single-nucleus transcriptomes during cardiomyocyte differentiation. Sci. Rep. *10*, 1535. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58327-6.

Shi, X., Wang, Q., Gu, J., Xuan, Z., and Wu, J.I. (2016). SMARCA4/Brg1 coordinates genetic and epigenetic networks underlying Shh-type medulloblastoma development. Oncogene 35, 5746–5758. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.108.



Shi, X., Zhang, Z., Zhan, X., Cao, M., Satoh, T., Akira, S., Shpargel, K., Magnuson, T., Li, Q., Wang, R., et al. (2014). An epigenetic switch induced by Shh signalling regulates gene activation during development and medulloblastoma growth. Nat. Commun. *5*, 5425. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6425.

Shin, K., Lee, J., Guo, N., Kim, J., Lim, A., Qu, L., Mysorekar, I.U., and Beachy, P.A. (2011). Hedgehog/Wht feedback supports regenerative proliferation of epithelial stem cells in bladder. Nature 472, 110–114. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature09851.

Singh, B.N., Koyano-Nakagawa, N., Gong, W., Moskowitz, I.P., Weaver, C.V., Braunlin, E., Das, S., van Berlo, J.H., Garry, M.G., and Garry, D.J. (2018). A conserved HH-Gli1-Mycn network regulates heart regeneration from newt to human. Nat. Commun. 9, 4237. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06617-z.

Smith, K.K. (2003). Time's arrow: heterochrony and the evolution of development. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 47, 613–621. https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.14756337.

Snarr, B.S., Wirrig, E.E., Phelps, A.L., Trusk, T.C., and Wessels, A. (2007). A spatiotemporal evaluation of the contribution of the dorsal mesenchymal protrusion to cardiac development. Dev. Dyn. *236*, 1287–1294.

Sun, J.X., Chang, T.F., Li, M.H., Sun, L.J., Yan, X.C., Yang, Z.Y., Liu, Y., Xu, W.Q., Lv, Y., Su, J.B., et al. (2018). SNAI1, an endothelial–mesenchymal transition transcription factor, promotes the early phase of ocular neovascularization. Angiogenesis *21*, 635–652. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10456-018-9614-9.

Suter, T.A.C.S., Blagburn, S.V., Fisher, S.E., Anderson-Keightly, H.M., D'Elia, K.P., and Jaworski, A. (2020). TAG-1 multifunctionality coordinates neuronal migration, axon guidance, and fasciculation. Cell Rep *30*, 1164–1177.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2019.12.085.

Suzuki, R., and Shimodaira, H. (2006). Pvclust: an R package for assessing the uncertainty in hierarchical clustering. Bioinformatics *22*, 1540–1542. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTL117.

Taipale, J., and Beachy, P.A. (2001). The Hedgehog and Wnt signalling pathways in cancer. Nature *411*, 349–354. https://doi.org/10.1038/35077219.

Tan, S.K., Lin, Z.H., Chang, C.W., Varang, V., Chng, K.R., Pan, Y.F., Yong, E.L., Sung, W.K., and Cheung, E. (2011). AP- 2γ regulates oestrogen receptor-mediated long-range chromatin interaction and gene transcription. EMBO J *30*, 2569–2581. https://doi.org/10.1038/EMBOJ.2011.151.

Tchorz, J.S., Tome, M., Cloëtta, D., Sivasankaran, B., Grzmil, M., Huber, R.M., Rutz-Schatzmann, F., Kirchhoff, F., Schaeren-Wiemers, N., Gassmann, M., et al. (2012). Constitutive Notch2 signaling in neural stem cells promotes tumorigenic features and astroglial lineage entry. Cell Death Dis. *3*, e325. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2012.65.

Teglund, S., and Toftgård, R. (2010). Hedgehog beyond medulloblastoma and basal cell carcinoma. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1805*, 181–208. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbcan.2010.01.003.

Tirosh, I., Izar, B., Prakadan, S.M., Wadsworth, M.H., Treacy, D., Trombetta, J.J., Rotem, A., Rodman, C., Lian, C., Murphy, G., et al. (2016). Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. Science *352*, 189–196. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad0501.

Tirosh-Finkel, L., Zeisel, A., Brodt-Ivenshitz, M., Shamai, A., Yao, Z., Seger, R., Domany, E., and Tzahor, E. (2010). BMP-mediated inhibition of FGF signaling promotes cardiomyocyte differentiation of anterior heart field progenitors. Development *137*, 2989–3000. https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.051649.

Tomic, D., Miller, K.P., Kenny, H.A., Woodruff, T.K., Hoyer, P., and Flaws, J.A. (2004). Ovarian follicle development requires Smad3. Mol. Endocrinol. *18*, 2224–2240. https://doi.org/10.1210/ME.2003-0414.

Traag, V.A., Waltman, L., and van Eck, N.J. (2019). From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing well-connected communities. Sci. Rep. 9, 5233. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41695-z.

Trapnell, C., Hendrickson, D.G., Sauvageau, M., Goff, L., Rinn, J.L., and Pachter, L. (2013). Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. Nat. Biotechnol. *31*, 46–53. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2450.

Trapnell, C., Pachter, L., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics *25*, 1105–1111.

Trapnell, C., Williams, B.A., Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., Van Baren, M.J., Salzberg, S.L., Wold, B.J., and Pachter, L. (2010). Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat. Biotechnol. *28*, 511–515. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1621.

Trompouki, E., Bowman, T.V., Lawton, L.N., Fan, Z.P., Wu, D.-C., DiBiase, A., Martin, C.S., Cech, J.N., Sessa, A.K., Leblanc, J.L., et al. (2011). Lineage regulators direct BMP and wnt pathways to cell-specific programs during differentiation and regeneration. Cell *147*, 577–589.

van Wijk, B., Moorman, A.F.M., and van den Hoff, M.J.B. (2007). Role of bone morphogenetic proteins in cardiac differentiation. Cardiovasc. Res. 74, 244–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardiores.2006.11.022.

Vokes, S.A., Ji, H., McCuine, S., Tenzen, T., Giles, S., Zhong, S., Longabaugh, W.J.R., Davidson, E.H., Wong, W.H., and McMahon, A.P. (2007). Genomic characterization of Gli-activator targets in sonic hedgehog-mediated neural patterning. Development *134*, 1977–1989.

Vokes, S.A., Ji, H., Wong, W.H., and McMahon, A.P. (2008). A genome-scale analysis of the *cis*-regulatory circuitry underlying sonic hedgehog-mediated patterning of the mammalian limb. Genes Dev *22*, 2651–2663. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1693008.

Wagner, F., Barkley, D., and Yanai, I. (2019). ENHANCE: accurate denoising of single-cell RNA-Seq data. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/655365.

Wang, J., Cao, J., Dickson, A.L., and Poss, K.D. (2015). Epicardial regeneration is guided by cardiac outflow tract and Hedgehog signalling. Nature 522, 226–230. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14325.

Wang, J., Greene, S.B., Bonilla-Claudio, M., Tao, Y., Zhang, J., Bai, Y., Huang, Z., Black, B.L., Wang, F., and Martin, J.F. (2010). Bmp signaling regulates myocardial differentiation from cardiac progenitors Through a microRNA-mediated mechanism. Dev. Cell *19*, 903–912. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. DEVCEL.2010.10.022.

Wang, Q., Zhao, N., Kennard, S., and Lilly, B. (2012). Notch2 and notch3 function together to regulate vascular smooth muscle development. PLoS One 7, e37365. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0037365.

Watkins, D.N., Berman, D.M., and Baylin, S.B. (2003). Hedgehog signaling: progenitor phenotype in small-cell lung cancer. Cell Cycle Georget Tex *2*, 196–198. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.2.3.378.

Watkins, W.S., Hernandez, E.J., Wesolowski, S., Bisgrove, B.W., Sunderland, R.T., Lin, E., Lemmon, G., Demarest, B.L., Miller, T.A., Bernstein, D., et al. (2019). De novo and recessive forms of congenital heart disease have distinct genetic and phenotypic landscapes. Nat. Commun. *10*, 4722. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12582-y.

Weger, M., Diotel, N., Dorsemans, A.-C., Dickmeis, T., and Weger, B.D. (2017). Stem cells and the circadian clock. Dev. Biol. *431*, 111–123. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ydbio.2017.09.012.

Wei, Y., Ma, D., Gao, Y., Zhang, C., Wang, L., and Liu, F. (2014). Ncor2 is required for hematopoietic stem cell emergence by inhibiting Fos signaling in zebrafish. Blood *124*, 1578–1585. https://doi.org/10.1182/BLOOD-2013-11-541391.

Weintraub, A.S., Li, C.H., Zamudio, A.V., Sigova, A.A., Hannett, N.M., Day, D.S., Abraham, B.J., Cohen, M.A., Nabet, B., Buckley, D.L., et al. (2017). YY1 is a structural regulator of enhancer-promoter loops. Cell *171*, 1573–1588.e28. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2017.11.008.

Welsh, I.C., Kwak, H., Chen, F.L., Werner, M., Shopland, L.S., Danko, C.G., Lis, J.T., Zhang, M., Martin, J.F., and Kurpios, N.A. (2015). Chromatin architecture of the Pitx2 locus requires CTCF- and Pitx2-dependent asymmetry that mirrors embryonic gut laterality. Cell Rep *13*, 337–349. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.celrep.2015.08.075.

Wicking, C., Smyth, I., and Bale, A. (1999). The hedgehog signalling pathway in tumorigenesis and development. Oncogene *18*, 7844–7851. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/sj.onc.1203282.

Wilson, G.N. (1988). Heterochrony and human malformation. Am. J. Med. Genet. 29, 311–321. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.1320290210.



Wolf, F.A., Angerer, P., and Theis, F.J. (2018). SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. Genome Biol *19*, 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0.

Xiang, M., Gan, L., Zhou, L., Klein, W.H., and Nathans, J. (1996). Targeted deletion of the mouse POU domain gene Brn-3a causes a selective loss of neurons in the brainstem and trigeminal ganglion, uncoordinated limb movement, and impaired suckling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *93*, 11950–11955. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.93.21.11950.

Xie, L., Hoffmann, A.D., Burnicka-Turek, O., Friedland-Little, J.M., Zhang, K., and Moskowitz, I.P. (2012). Tbx5-hedgehog molecular networks are essential in the second heart field for atrial septation. Dev. Cell *23*, 280–291.

Yang, J., Bücker, S., Jungblut, B., Böttger, T., Cinnamon, Y., Tchorz, J., Müller, M., Bettler, B., Harvey, R., Sun, Q.Y., et al. (2012). Inhibition of Notch2 by Numb/numblike controls myocardial compaction in the heart. Cardiovasc. Res. 96, 276–285. https://doi.org/10.1093/CVR/CVS250.

Ying, Q.L., Stavridis, M., Griffiths, D., Li, M., and Smith, A. (2003). Conversion of embryonic stem cells into neuroectodermal precursors in adherent monoculture. Nat. Biotechnol. *21*, 183–186. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt780.

Zhan, X., Shi, X., Zhang, Z., Chen, Y., and Wu, J.I. (2011). Dual role of Brg chromatin remodeling factor in Sonic hedgehog signaling during neural development 108. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 12758–12763.

Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., et al. (2008). Model-based anal-

ysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol 9, R137. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137.

Zhang, Y., Xiang, Y., Yin, Q., Du, Z., Peng, X., Wang, Q., Fidalgo, M., Xia, W., Li, Y., Zhao, Z.A., et al. (2017). Dynamic epigenomic landscapes during early lineage specification in mouse embryos. Nat. Genet. *50*, 96–105. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41588-017-0003-x.

Zhao, H., Feng, J., Seidel, K., Shi, S., Klein, O., Sharpe, P., and Chai, Y. (2014). Secretion of shh by a neurovascular bundle niche supports mesenchymal stem cell homeostasis in the adult mouse incisor. Cell Stem Cell *14*, 160–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.12.013.

Zhao, Y., Kwan, K.M., Mailloux, C.M., Lee, W.K., Grinberg, A., Wurst, W., Behringer, R.R., and Westphal, H. (2007). LIM-homeodomain proteins Lhx1 and Lhx5, and their cofactor Ldb1, control Purkinje cell differentiation in the developing cerebellum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 13182–13186. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.0705464104.

Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A.H., Tanaseichuk, O., Benner, C., and Chanda, S.K. (2019). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. Nat. Commun. *10*, 1523. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6.

Zhu, F., Farnung, L., Kaasinen, E., Sahu, B., Yin, Y., Wei, B., Dodonova, S.O., Nitta, K.R., Morgunova, E., Taipale, M., et al. (2018). The interaction landscape between transcription factors and the nucleosome. Nature *562*, 76–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0549-5.



STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rabbit polyclonal anti-GLI1	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 2534S; RRID: AB_2294745
Goat polyclonal anti-GLI3	R&D Systems	Cat# AF3690; RRID: AB_2232499
Mouse monoclonal anti-GAPDH	Abcam	Cat# ab8245; RRID: AB_2107448
Mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG	MilliporeSigma	Cat# F3165; RRID: AB_259529; Clone M2
Mouse monoclonal anti-Troponin T	Fisher Scientific	Cat# MS295P1; RRID: AB_61808; Clone 13-11
Mouse monoclonal anti-Troponin T BV421	BD Biosciences	Cat# 562438; RRID: AB_2739306; Clone 13-11
Mouse monoclonal anti-IgG1, k isotype BV421	BD Biosciences	Cat# 562438; RRID: AB_11207319; Clone X40
Rat monoclonal anti-Ki67	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 14-5698-80; RRID: AB_10854564; Clone SolA15
Rabbit polyclonal anti-GLI2	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 2585S; RRID: AB_2294767
Mouse monoclonal anti-myosin heavy chain	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	Cat# MF20; RRID: AB_1293549; Clone MF20
Rabbit polyclonal anti-MYL7	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 17283-1-AP; RRID: AB_2250998
Mouse monoclonal anti-beta-III Tubulin	R&D Systems	Cat# MAB1195; RRID: AB_357520; Clone TuJ-1
Rat monoclonal anti-CD140a (PDGFRa) PE	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 12-1401-81
Rat monoclonal anti-CD309 (FLK-1) PE-Cy7	BioLegend	Cat# 136414
Mouse monoclonal anti-H3K27ac	FUJIFILM Wako	Cat# 306-34849; RRID: AB_10552905; Clone MABI0309
Bacterial and virus strains		
DH5α subcloning efficiency competent cells	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 18265017
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
Neurobasal medium	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 21103049
DMEM/F12 medium	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 10565018
Iscove's modified Dubelcco's medium (IMDM)	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 31980030
Ham's F12 medium	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 31765035
Stempro-34 SF medium	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 10639011
N2 supplement (100x)	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 17502048
B27 supplement (50x)	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 17504044
Penicillin-Streptomycin	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 15140122
L-Glutamine	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 25030081
1-Thioglycerol	MilliporeSigma	Cat# M6145
Bovine serum albumin solution (30%)	MilliporeSigma	Cat# A9576
Leukemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF)	MilliporeSigma	Cat# ESG1106
PD0325901 (Mirdametinib)	Selleck Chemicals	Cat# S1036
CHIR-99021 (Laduviglusib)	Selleck Chemicals	Cat# S1263
L-ascorbic Acid	MilliporeSigma	Cat# A4544
Transferrin, human	MilliporeSigma	Cat# T8158
Recombinant human basic FGF	R&D Systems	Cat# 233-FB-010
Recombinant human BMP4	R&D Systems	Cat# 314-BP-010
Recombinant human/mouse/rat Activin A	R&D Systems	Cat# 338-AC-010
Recombinant mouse VEGF	R&D Systems	Cat# 493-MV-005
GlutaMAX supplement	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 35050061
Normocin	InvivoGen	Cat# ant-nr-1
Propidium Iodide	Thermo Fisher	Cat# P3566



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
TrypLE Express	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 12605010
Fetal bovine serum, heat inactivated	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 10438026
EmbryoMax 0.1% gelatin solution	MilliporeSigma	Cat# ES-006-B
TRIzol reagent	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 15596026
Cell Lysis Buffer (10x)	Cell Signaling Technologies	Cat# 9803S
ECL Western blotting substrate	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 32106
Doxycycline hyclate	MilliporeSigma	Cat# D9891
Tamoxifen	MilliporeSigma	Cat# T5648
Critical commercial assays		
BCA Protein Assay kit	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 23225
RNeasy Mini Kit	Qiagen	Cat# 74104
TruSeq RNA library prep kit v2	Illumina	Cat# RS-122-2001
iTag universal SYBR green one-step kit	Bio-rad	Cat# 1725151
NucleoSpin RNA mini kit	Macherey-Nagel	Cat# 740955.250
Fixation/permeabilization kit	BD Biosciences	Cat# 554714
NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library prep kit for Illumina	New England Biolabs	Cat# E7370S
Nextera XT DNA Library prep kit	Illumina	Cat# FC-131-1096
Dual-Luciferase reporter assay system	Promega	Cat# E1960
Deposited data		
Drop-seg scRNA-seg data from E10.0 CD1 mouse SHF	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
Bulk RNA-seg data from E10.5 Shh ^{+/+} & Shh ^{-/-} mouse pSHF	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
Bulk RNA-seg data from E10.0 CD1 mouse pSHF & HT	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
Bulk ATAC-seq data from E10.0 CD1 mouse pSHF & HT	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
Bulk RNA-seq data from D5-D12 mESC-CM	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
differentiation time course		
Bulk RNA-seq data from D6-D12 GLI1 OE and control cardiac differentiations	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
Bulk ATAC-seq data from D5-7 GLI1 OE and control mESC-CPs	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
GLI1-FTA and H3K27ac ChIP-seq data from D6 GLI1 OE and control mESC-CPs	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
GLI3R-FTA ChIP-seq data from D6 GLI1 OE and control mESC-CPs	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
Bulk RNA-seq data from D5-D10 GLI1 OE and control neural differentiations	This paper	GEO: GSE196095
Experimental models: Cell lines		
Mouse: GLI1-FTA transgenic A2Lox.cre mESC line	This paper	N/A
Mouse: GLI3R-FTA transgenic A2Lox.cre mESC line	This paper	N/A
Human: HEK293T cells	ATCC	Cat# CRL-3216; RRID: CVCL_0063
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
Mouse: Shh ^{-/-} : Shh ^{tm1Amc} /J	The Jackson Laboratory	Strain# 003318; RRID:IMSR_JAX:003318
Mouse: Osr1 ^{eGFPCre-ERT2} : Osr1 ^{tm1(EGFP/cre/ERT2)Amc} /J	The Jackson Laboratory	Strain# 009061; RRID:IMSR_JAX:009061
Mouse: ROSA26 ^{Gli3R-Flag} : Gt(ROSA)26Sor ^{tm3(Gli3)Amc} /J	The Jackson Laboratory	Strain# 013124; RRID:IMSR_JAX:013124
Mouse: CD-1 IGS	Charles River	Strain# Crl: CD1 (ICR)
Oligonucleotides		
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Gli1</i> F: 5'- TGTGTGAGCAAGAAGGTTGC-3' R: 5'- ATGGCTTCTCATTGGAGTGG-3'	This paper	N/A

(Continued on next page)



Continued			
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Gli2</i> F: 5'- GGTCAAGACTGAGGCTGAGG-3' R: 5'- TCATCCCTGTCCAGGTCTTC-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Gli3</i> F: 5'- GCAACCTCACTCTGCAACAA-3' R: 5'- CCTTGTGCCTCCATTTTGAT-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Ptch1</i> F: 5'- AATTCTCGACTCACTCGTCCA-3' R: 5'- CTCCTCATATTTGGGGCCTT-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Foxf1</i> F: 5'- AGCAGCCATACCTTCACCAA-3' R: 5'- CTGGGCGACTGTGAGTGATA-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Foxf</i> 2 F: 5'- GCGCTTCACCTTACCTCAAG-3' R: 5'- TGCAAGTAGCTCTGCTCCAA-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Fendrr</i> F: 5'- CTGCCCGTGTGGTTATAATG-3' R: 5'- TGACTCTCAAGTGGGTGCTG-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Isl1</i> F: 5'- TCATCCGAGTGTGGTTTCAA-3' R: 5'- TTCCTGTCATCCCCTGGATA-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Myl2</i> F: 5'- CGTGTTCCTCACGATGTTTG-3' R: 5'- TCAGCCTTCAGTGACCCTTT-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Myh7</i> F: 5'- AGCATTCTCCTGCTGTTTCC-3' R: 5'- CCAGGCCTGTAGAAGAGCTG-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Nppa</i> F: 5'- GGGGGTAGGATTGACAGGAT-3' R: 5'- GCAGAATCGACTGCCTTTTC-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Tnnt2 (cTnT)</i> F: 5'- TCAAGACCTGTGTGCAGTCC-3' R: 5'- CCACAGCTTCTTCCTGTTCC-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Tbx5</i> F: 5'- AGGCAGGGAGGAGAATGTTT-3' R: 5'- GCGGGAACAATATCCATGAG-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Nkx2-5</i> F: 5'- ACATTTTACCCGGGAGCCTA-3' R: 5'- GGCTTTGTCCAGCTCCACT-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Bra (T)</i> F: 5'- CCGGTGCTGAAGGTAAATGT-3' R: 5'- CCCCGTTCACATATTTCCAG-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Myh6</i> F: 5'- ATGTTAAGGCCAAGGTCGTG-3' R: 5'- CACCTGGTCCTCCTTTATGG-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Hand2</i> F: 5'- TGGCAAGGCTTTCTCCAGAC-3' R: 5'- AAGCCCTATTGCAGTGAGGG-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Sox1</i> F: 5'- CCCATGCACCGCTACGACAT-3' R: 5'- CGCTCATGTAGCCCTGAGAGT-3'	This paper	N/A	
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Nestin</i> F: 5'- GGACGCTCTCCTTCCACATC-3' R: 5'- TATCCGACCCCCCACTGCTTA-3'	This paper	N/A	

(Continued on next page)

Article



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
qPCR primer: <i>Mus musculus Hhip</i> F: 5'- CTTGTAATTGGGATGGAATGC-3' R: 5'- TCAAGGAGCCTTACTTGGACA-3'	This paper	N/A
Recombinant DNA		
Fox-enhancer-pGL4.23	This paper	N/A
Fox-enhancer-Hsp68-LacZ	This paper	N/A
Software and algorithms		
ImageJ	N/A	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Seurat v4	Hao et al., 2021	https://satijalab.org/seurat/
STARsolo	Dobin et al., 2013	https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR/blob/ master/docs/STARsolo.md
Scanpy	Wolf et al., 2018	https://scanpy.readthedocs.io/en/stable/
Bowtie2	Langmead and Salzberg, 2012	http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/ bowtie2/index.shtml
MACS2	Zhang et al., 2008	https://github.com/macs3-project/MACS
Other		
4-15% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Gels	Bio-rad	Cat# 17000546
Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidin	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 11205D
Dynabeads protein G	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 10003D
Ampure XP reagent	Beckman Coulter	Cat# A63880

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Ivan Mos-kowitz (imoskowitz@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu).

Materials availability

Unique materials generated in this study, including plasmids and transgenic mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) lines are available from the lead contact without restriction.

Data and code availability

- Drop-seq, RNA-seq, ChAP/ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq data have been deposited at GEO and are publicly available as of the date of publication. The accession number is listed in the key resources table.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mice

Mouse experiments were completed according to a protocol reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Chicago, in compliance with the USA Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Barrier mice were housed in a pathogen-free facility with positively pressurized individually ventilated cage racks and work is completed in biological safety cabinets. Caging and bedding were autoclaved prior to use and changed once per week, and new cages were provided with irradiated food and acidified water. A maximum of 5 adult mice were housed per cage. Animals were monitored daily for normal husbandry and any health concerns. Cages were kept under a 12-hour light and 12-hour dark cycle. CD1 mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories. The Shh^{-/-} mouse line was obtained from the Jackson laboratory. The $Osr1^{eGFPCre-ERT2}$ (Mugford et al., 2008) and $ROSA26^{Gli3R-Flag}$ (Vokes et al., 2008) lines were reported previously. All mouse alleles were maintained on a mixed genetic background. All experiments were performed with at least N=2 mice or embryos per condition of mixed sexes. For crosses involving the $Osr1^{eGFPCre-ERT2}$ allele, mice were treated with 2mg tamoxifen, administered via IP injection at E7.5, E8.5 and E9.5. Embryos were harvested at E10.0, E10.5 or E14.5.



Transgenic mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) lines

To generate inducible GLI1 or GLI3^R mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) lines, the coding sequence for mouse *Gli1* or the truncated repressive form of *Gli3* (*Gli3^R*) was inserted in-frame with a Flag-Tev-Avi (FTA) tag into the *Hprt* locus of A2Lox.cre mES cells using a method previously described (lacovino et al., 2011). Individual clones were assessed for differentiation potential and doxycycline-inducibility. One clone was selected and used for all experiments described herein, and all experiments were performed at the same passage number. mESC lines were passaged every 2 days on gelatin-coated dishes in stem cell maintenance media containing 1uM PD0325901 (Selleck Chemicals #S1036), 3uM CHIR-99021 (Selleck Chemicals #CT99021) and 1000 units LIF (Millipore Sigma #ESG1106), as previously described (Kattman et al., 2011).

METHOD DETAILS

CD1 embryo Drop-seq analysis

SHF and HT tissue was microdissected from E10.5 CD1 embryos

Tissue from 40 embryos was pooled to generate Replicate 1 and tissue from 45 embryos was pooled to generate Replicate 2. Tissue was dissociated for 5 minutes at 37°C in TrypLE Express Enzyme reagent (Thermo Fisher 12604013), with shaking. The dissociation reagent was guenched with 10% FBS, and cells were subsequently washed in a 0.01% BSA/PBS solution. Two single cell RNA-seq libraries were generated using the standard Drop-seq protocol (Macosko et al., 2015) with minor modifications. Briefly, cells were filtered using a 40 µm mesh filter (Nalgene, USA) and suspended in 1x PBS and 0.01% BSA at a concentration 120,000/mL. Custom-synthesized Drop-seq barcode (BC) beads (Chemgenes, USA) at 100,000/mL were likewise filtered trough 100 µm mesh filter and suspended in Drop-seg Lysis Buffer. Using 3 mL syringes for each, the solutions were co-encapsulated in monodisperse droplets of 125 µm in an inert carrier oil (BioRad Evagreen) using microfluidic device fabricated in-house. The cells underwent lysis in the microfluidic droplets and the mRNA were captured onto the BC beads via polydT oligoes affixed to the BC beads. The droplets were then broken to retrieve the BC beads with mRNA hybridized onto them in high salt solution that stabilized the BC oligo+mRNA complexes. The beads are thoroughly washed and reverse transcription is performed to convert the BC+mRNA complex into barcoded cDNA. An Exonuclease I digestion is performed to digest any barcodes that are unbound to mRNA. PCR of 12-15 cycles is performed to amplify the barcoded single cell library, quantified and the quality of cDNA library is assessed. Sequencing libraries are prepared using Illumina Nextera kit with sample-specific indices added that allow de-multiplexing each sample from sequence data. The samples were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq platform using a 75 cycle kit, with a custom Read1 primer (Macosko et al., 2015). The two biological replicates were processed on different days.

Data processing

Raw sequencing data were processed through a bioinformatics pipeline using STARsolo as aligner (Dobin et al., 2013) which we previously described (Selewa et al., 2020). Briefly, sequencing reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome (mm10) (Kent et al., 2002), and transcripts counts were quantified for each cell and gene. The pipeline is available here: https://github.com/aselewa/ dropseqRunner. Data QC and the majority of the Drop-seq analysis was performed using the Scanpy (Wolf et al., 2018) software package (scanpy V1.5.1 and anndata V0.7.3). Cells from both biological replicates were combined after removing cells with fewer than 1,100 detected genes and genes that were detected in fewer than 5 cells in each. We also excluded cells with more than 7,000 genes to avoid counting cell doublets and cells with more than 3% of reads mapped to mitochondrial genes indicative of low quality. This resulted in a final set of 3,824 high quality cellular transcriptomes. Read counts in each cell were normalized to a total of 10,000 reads and log transformed.

Clustering and cell type identification

We used an iterative analysis strategy to first identify all cell types within our dataset of cells from the SHF and then repeating this filtering cardiac-associated cell types. We then repeated this process on a selected subset of cells corresponding to those with an atrial CM fate. First, we identified highly variable genes within the cell populations using highly_variable_genes() with default parameters (min_mean = 0.0125, max_mean = 3, min_disp = 0.5), followed by scaling and PCA to calculate the first 75 principal components. Prior to clustering and non-linear dimensionality reduction, a neighborhood graph was constructed using neighbors() with n_neighbors=15 and n_pcs=35. We calculated both UMAP and tSNE representations for all subsets of the data and chose the representation that best facilitated the visualization of the independently derived clusters. Graph-based clustering was performed using the Leiden algorithm (Traag et al., 2019) with resolution = 1. We identified marker genes for each of the 19 original clusters applying rank_genes_groups() with method = 'logreg' and used them to assign cell types (Figures S1D and S1E; Table S1). All cardiac related cell types were then selected for further analysis to ensure that no CM cells were lost (clusters: pSHF1, pSHF2, aSHF/pharyngeal arch mesoderm, cardiomyocytes, septum transversum, pro-epicardium/SA node), yielding a subset of 1,402 cells (Figures S1D-S1F; Table S1). The entire analysis process was then repeated for this subset with the following modifications: Only the first 12PCs were used for clustering and dimensionality reduction and resolution was set to 0.3 for clustering with the Leiden algorithm. This procedure yielded 7 clusters representing cells in several cardiac lineages, which we further filtered to retain only cells definitively corresponding to the atrial CM lineage (Gata4⁺, Nkx2-5⁺, Tbx5⁺, Tnnt2⁺) (de Soysa et al., 2019; Han et al., 2019). This step excluded cells corresponding to the aSHF (Tbx1+, Fgf10+), the septum transversum (Wt1+) and the pro-epicardium/SA node (Tbx18+) (Figures S1G and S1H). Neighborhood graph, clustering and dimensionality reductions (UMAP,TSNE) were re-calculated for this subset using the first 8 PCs and cluster resolution 0.2. This final atrial CM-associated subset contained 846 cells sorted into 4 distinct clusters (Figures 1C and 1D; Table S1). We confirmed the left/right side-specificities of the two progenitor clusters (CP1 and CP2) by



examining the expression of *D030025E07Rik* (right side marker) and *Pitx2* (left side marker) in the wild type pSHF *in vivo* (Figures S2C and S2D). Significance was assessed using a two-sided T-test on the raw counts.

Pseudotime

To calculate the differentiation pseudotime we first computed a diffusion map using *diffmap()* with *n_comps=15* and default settings (Haghverdi et al., 2016). To identify a starting cell for the pseudotime calculation, we calculated a 'differentiation score' by computing the mean expression of know cardiac marker genes for each cell (Table S1; de Soysa et al., 2019) and chose as the root cell the most differentiated cell according to this metric. Diffusion pseudotime was calculated using *dpt()* with *n_dcs=* 6 and default settings. We thus use 1-pseudotime to describe the pseudotime associated with the developmental progression. We chose this approach to overcome the larger heterogeneity within the progenitor population, which made choosing a progenitor root cell more difficult. *Differential Expression, cell cycle and signaling pathway scores*

Cell cycle scores were calculated as previously described (Tirosh et al., 2016) after converting the human gene symbols to the corresponding symbols for the orthologous mouse genes. To confirm the identities of CPs and CMs, we identified differentially expressed genes using the *FindMarkers* function in the Seurat v4 R package (Hao et al., 2021) with default settings and a Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test (Table S1), we retained genes with adjusted p-value <= 0.05 and |log2 fold change| >= 0.5. Analysis for enriched GO terms was performed using Metascape (Zhou et al., 2019). To map activity of developmental signaling pathways, we obtained sets of target genes from 6 developmental pathways (Han et al., 2019) and used the aggregate expression in each cell as a proxy for pathway activity. The following target genes were included for each pathway: Hedgehog signaling ('Gli1', 'Ptch1', 'Foxf1', 'Foxf2', 'Hhip'), Retinoic acid signaling ('Hoxa1', 'Hoxa2', 'Hoxa3', 'Hoxb1', 'Hoxb2', 'Hoxb3', 'Cyp26a1', 'Hnf1b', 'Rarb'), Wnt signaling ('Axin1', 'Lef1', 'Axin2', 'Myc', 'Sp5', 'Ccnd1', 'Dkk1', 'Notum'), Fgf signaling ('Spry1', 'Spry2', 'Spry4', 'Dusp6', 'Etv4', 'Etv5', 'Fos', 'Myc', 'Junb', 'Dusp14'), Notch signaling ('Hes1', 'Hey1', 'Hey2', 'Dll4', 'Hey1', 'Notch1', 'Notch3', 'Hes5', 'Nrarp') and Bmp signaling ('Msx1', 'Bambi', 'Smad7', 'Id1', 'Id2', 'Id3', 'Id4', 'Bmper', 'Msx2').

Data visualization in heatmaps

To display the general trends expression patterns of these genes at the single cell level, we denoised the single cell expression measurements using the computational algorithm ENHANCE that relies on principal component analysis in order to separate biological variation from technical noise (Wagner et al., 2019). Denoised single cell gene expression values were displayed with heatmaps using the R package ComplexHeatmaps (Gu et al., 2016). These heatmaps were used to capture and display expression patterns during differentiation from CP to CM. Columns represent cells sorted based on their pseudotime rank. Rows correspond to different selected gene sets and differend by heatmap. Hierarchical clustering was used to sort genes by similarity of their temporal expression patterns. Gene expression and pathway scores were also displayed as line graphs using the ggplot package in R.

Shh^{-/-} embryo transcriptome profiling

The pSHF was microdissected from five individual E10.5 Shh^{+/+} and five Shh^{-/-} embryos for bulk RNA-seq analysis, and yolk sacs were collected for genotyping. Tissues were mechanically homogenized in TRIzol Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific 15596026), and RNA was isolated using RNeasy Mini RNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen 74104). 1ug of total RNA was then used to generate sequencing libraries using the TruSeq RNA Sample prep kit v2 (Illumina RS-122-2001), as per recommended instructions. Libraries were quantitated on an Agilent Bio-Analyzer and pooled in equimolar amounts. Pooled libraries were sequenced on the HiSeq2500 in Rapid Run Mode following the manufacturer's protocols to generate stranded single-end reads of 50bp. The number of sequenced reads per sample ranged between 11.7 million 17.7 million with an average of 15 million sequenced per sample. Quality control for raw reads involved trimming the first 13bp with FastQ Groomer to ensure a median quality score of 36 or above for each sample. Fastq files were aligned to the UCSC mouse genome (mm9) (Kent et al., 2002) using TopHat (Trapnell et al., 2009) (version 2.0.10) with the following parameters: (-segment-length 19 -segment-mismatches 2 -no-coverage-search). Between 11.4 million 17.2 million successfully mapped reads were then merged. One Shh+/+ sample was discarded due to discordance with all other samples. Beyond PCA analysis, we did not examine individual samples for consistency of gene expression, which should reflect consistency in microdissection technique, representing a potential limitation of this study. Remaining samples were then analyzed for differential gene expression using Cuffdiff (Trapnell et al., 2013) (version 2.1.1) with quartile normalization. Significantly differentially expressed genes were identified using thresholds of FDR <0.05 and fold change > 1.5, resulting in 204 activated and 52 repressed genes, in the Shh^{-/-} pSHF samples relative to Shh^{+/+} samples. Differential expression of selected genes identified by RNA-seq was validated using qPCR. RNA was harvested from Shh^{+/+} and Shh^{-/-} pSHF samples with a Nucleospin RNA extraction kit (Machery-Nagel 740955.250). RNA was then used to perform one-step qPCR with the iTaq One-Step system (Bio-Rad 1725151), and expression levels in mutant samples were normalized to Shh+/+ samples. GO term analysis was performed as described above. To intersect Shh-dependent genes with differentiation stage-dependent gene expression, we also performed bulk RNA-seq analysis on wild type embryonic tissues. The pSHF and HT from six CD1 embryos was microdissected and RNA-seq analysis was performed as above to identify genes differentially expressed between the two tissues (fold change > 1.5, FDR < 0.05). Additional data analysis and visualization was performed in R.

mESC cardiomyocyte differentiation culture and GLI1 OE experiments

mESCs were maintained and differentiated into CMs as previously described (Kattman et al., 2011). RNA was collected and qPCR was performed as above to assess the expression of CP markers *Tbx5*, *Nkx2-5* and *Isl1*, as well as Hh markers *Gli1*, and *Ptch1* relative to *Gapdh* in differentiating CMs. For western blots, protein was harvested with cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling 9803), and 20-40 ug was loaded onto 4-15% pre-cast gels (Bio-Rad 17000546) and run using the Bio-Rad Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell system. Blots were



probed with antibodies recognizing GLI1 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling 2534S), GLI3 (1:1,000; R&D AF3690) and GAPDH (1:10,000; Abcam ab8245). Pixel density for GLI1/3 was then computed, relative to the pixel density of GAPDH, using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). The relative densities of GLI1/3 at various mESC-CM differentiation stages were summed, and the proportion of density contributed at each stage by GLI1 (GLI^A) and GLI3 (GLI^P) was calculated.

RNA was harvested from *in vitro* samples at D5, D6, D7, D8, D10 and D15 of the mESC-CM differentiation with a Nucleospin RNA extraction kit (Machery-Nagel 740955.250). RNA-seq was performed on three replicate samples for each time point using the TruSeq RNA Sample library prep kit v2 (Illumina RS-122-2001), sequencing on a HiSeq4000 instrument in Rapid Run Mode to generate single-end reads of 50bp. Reads were aligned to mouse genome and transcriptome mm10 from UCSC with parameter –quantMode GeneCounts to retrieve exon counts. E10.0 pSHF and HT RNA-seq data, plus publicly available adult mouse heart RNA-seq data (Akerberg et al., 2019) were then compared to the *in vitro* time series. Raw counts from both *in vitro* and *in vivo* samples were batch corrected with Combat-seq function from R CRAN package SVA. Normalization was performed with DESEq2 's vst function. Normalized counts were then summarized into principal components with Bioconductor package PCAtools. We used the top 500 most variable genes for visualization and added the top ten loadings from PC1 as labels. The same 500 genes were then clustered and scaled with R package pheatmap. For confidence estimates of hierarchical clustering results, counts were first normalized by DESEQ2 function vst() and extracted with assay(). We used R CRAN package pvclust (Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2006) to calculate the p-values from each cluster resulting from hierarchical clustering. P-values are based on multiscale bootstrap resampling from 10,000 bootstraps. Dendogram was visualized with R package Dendextend. Significant *P*-values are those were au values (in red to left of branch point) are > 95.

Attempts to study the activity of an embryonic signaling pathway in vitro are unavoidably limited by imprecise comparisons and overly-simplistic models of protein behavior. For example, overexpressing GLI1 or GLI3^R in mESC-CPs in vitro does not fully model the complex signaling milieu of the pSHF and any potential pathway interactions therein (Rochais et al., 2009). Overly high levels of GLI1 expression in vitro can also lead to spurious dysregulation of gene expression and aberrant cell behaviors that do not reflect GLI1 function in the pSHF. Additionally, a focus on GLI1 or GLI3^R function means that we ignore the contributions of GLI2 to the regulation of Hh target genes. We have thus carefully considered these caveats and devised a system that we believe accurately describes the molecular function of GLI1 in CPs. 1) We have chosen to engineer mESC lines to overexpress either GLI1, an obligate activator modeling GLI^A TFs, or GLI3^B, the truncated repressor form of GLI3 modeling GLI^R TFs, to avoid the complications of post-translational GLI protein truncations (Dai et al., 1999; Hui and Angers, 2011; Lee et al., 1997; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007). 2) We have chosen to overexpress GLI1 and GLI3^R at a transcriptional stage in the in vitro mESC-CM differentiation time series that most closely mirrors the transcriptional state of the pSHF with the goal of ensuring that GLI1 cofactors and other interactors are present (see section above). 3) We have tested multiple dosages of doxycycline to find the dose that most accurately represents Hedgehog activity in the pSHF. Expression of the Hh target, Foxf1, was quantified relative to Gapdh expression in cells treated with increasing concentrations of doxycycline and relative to Gapdh in pSHF embryo samples. Based on results from these assays, a final doxycycline concentration of 500ng/ml was chosen for all GLI1 OE experiments because this dose caused relative Foxf1 expression in mESC-CPs to match its relative expression in the pSHF. 4) Finally, our in vitro studies are corroborated by data collected from in vivo CP and CM samples, suggesting that our mESC-CP GLI1 OE system models Hh activity in SHF cardiomyocyte differentiation reasonably well.

For all GLI1 overexpression (GLI1 OE) experiments, doxycycline (Sigma D9891) was added to cultures at the CP stage (D5). Cells were then washed and media was changed after 24hrs of exposure to doxycycline. qPCR and western blots were performed, as described above, on D6 samples to assess the expression level of Gli1 and Hh targets, relative to untreated controls. Western blots for GLI1-FTA were probed with antibodies recognizing the FLAG epitope (1:1,000, Sigma F3165, M2) and GAPDH (as above). mESC immunofluorescence was performed on control and GLI1 OE CMs with an antibody recognizing cardiac Troponin T (cTnT, 1:100, ThermoFisher Scientific MS-295-P1). The area of cTnT positivity was calculated as the mean grey area / mm² of 5 fields of view across two biological replicates per condition, using the threshold measurement tool in ImageJ. The percentage of cTnT+ cells was calculated by dissociating D8 or D10 CMs and staining with a BV421-conjugated anti-cTnT antibody (BD Biosciences 565618, Lot 8136651, 13-11, 1:100) for 1 hour at 4°C. The fluorescent signal from GLI1 OE and control cell samples stained for cTnT-positivity was normalized to fluorescent signal from cells stained with a BV421-conjugated isotype control IgG antibody (BD Biosciences 562438, Lot 8242926, X40, 1:100). Fluorescence was quantified, and cells were counted on a BD LSRFortessa 4-15 HTS FACS instrument at the University of Chicago's Cytometry and Antibody Technology core facility. The number of beating foci was calculated as the average number of independently beating regions within 5 fields of view across two biological samples, counted from 5 second videos taken on a Zeiss Axiovert 200m inverted widefield microscope at the University of Chicago's Integrated Light Microscopy core facility. Indirect FACS analysis for Ki-67+ cells was performed using a primary antibody recognizing Ki-67 (ThermoFisher 14-5698-80, SolA15, 1:100), and a secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594 (ThermoFisher A-11007, 1:1000). Fluorescence was quantified, and cells were counted on an Agilent NovoCyte Penteonn FACS instrument at the University of Chicago's Cytometry and Antibody Technology core facility.

RNA-seq was performed at D6, D8 and D12 of mESC-CM differentiations with at least two replicate GLI1 OE and control samples per stage, as described above. Reads were mapped to the mouse genome (mm9) (Kent et al., 2002) with Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) and transcripts were assigned and quantified with Cufflinks with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2010). Reads were then normalized and differentially expressed genes for each timepoint were identified with edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010). Differentially expressed genes were then filtered to include only genes with a log₂ fold change \geq 0.5 and an FDR \leq 0.05. GO term analysis on D6 dysregulated genes, and qPCR validation of selected genes, was performed as described above.



Article

Identification of GLI1 and GLI3^R direct targets in CPs

The GLI1-FTA cell line was used to identify GLI1 binding sites, and the GLI3^R-FTA cell line was used to identify GLI3^R binding sites in CPs. Cells at the CP stage (D5) were treated with doxycycline (500 ng/ml) for 24 hours and harvested at D6. ChAP-seq was performed as previously described for ChIP-seq (lkegami et al., 2020), with minor modifications. Briefly, 2 x 10⁶ cells were cross-linked in 1% PFA for 5 minutes at room temperature, with rocking. The reaction was then quenched with 125 mM glycine. The cross-linked tissues were incubated in LB1 (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% Glycerol, 0.5% NP-40, 0.25% Triton X-100) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors on ice for 10 minutes. The tissues were then sonicated in LB3 (10 mMTris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% N-lauroyl sarcosine, 1% Triton X-100) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors for 5 minutes at 4°C. Chromatin extract was then cleared by centrifugation at 14,000g, 4°C for 10 minutes. To pull-down GLI1-FTA or GLI3^R-FTA, the chromatin extract was incubated with 50ul M-280 Streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads (Life Technologies, 11205D) for 30 minutes at room temperature with rotation, washed in sequence by LB3, LB3 with 1 M NaCl, LB3 with 0.5 M NaCl, LB3, and TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). For ChIP-seq of H3K27ac-enriched chromatin, extract was incubated with 1ul anti-H3K27ac antibody (FUJIFILM Wako 306-34849) at 4°C overnight, then incubated with 50ul Protein G Dynabeads for 4 hours at 4°C, followed by washes (see above). The captured chromatin, and input samples, were eluted in ChIP Elution Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 250 mM NaCl) at 65°C. For both ChAP-seq and ChIP-seq, DNA was purified after RNase and proteinase K treatment and reversing cross-links.

High-throughput sequencing libraries from ChAP/ChIP and input DNA were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, E7370S). During library preparation, adaptor-ligated DNA fragments of 200-650 bp in size were selected before PCR amplification using Ampure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter, A63880). DNA libraries were sequenced using Illumina Hi-seq instruments (single-end 50 base) by the Genomics Core Facility at the University of Chicago. Reads were aligned to mouse genome mm10 (Kent et al., 2002) from UCSC with Bowtie2 v2.3.2. Reads with a phred score less than 30, aligned to mitochondrial genome and clone contigs were removed with Samtools v1.5. Reads were sorted with Samtools sort by genomic location before removing duplicates with picardtools MarkDuplicates v2.8.1 with default settings (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). Peaks were called on uniquely mapped reads with macs2 (v2.1.1 settings -f BAM -g mm -B -q 0.05), grouping together both replicates as well as calling peaks on individual samples. Consensus peaks were determined by intersecting individual peak calls with Homer mergePeaks v4.1.1. Consensus peak summits were determined by intersecting the consensus peak regions with the summit file from the grouped peak calls. Coverage file (bigwig) was generated with Macs2 (Zhang et al., 2008) using the bdgcmp function (-m FE) followed by bedGraphToBigWig v4 from UCSC.

Chromatin accessibility profiling of GLI1-FTA mESC-CPs and embryonic tissues

Assay for transposase-accessible chromatin was performed as previously described (Buenrostro et al., 2013). Briefly, the pSHF and HT were microdissected from CD1 embryos, pooled, and dissociated with TrypLE (ThermoFisher Scientific 12605-010). For D5 and D7 GLI1 OE and control mESC samples, 150,000 cells per sample were collected for each condition. Embryo and cell samples were then lysed, as described (Buenrostro et al., 2013), and transposition was carried out at 37C for 30 minutes with Illumina's Nextera DNA Library Prep kit (Illumina, FC-131-1096). Libraries were generated from transposed DNA and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000 instrument in the University of Chicago's Genomic Facility. Data were analyzed with FastQC (Andrews, 2010) and mapped to the mouse genome (mm9) with Bowtie2 using default parameters (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Peaks were called for all samples with MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) using the following parameters: -q 0.05 -nomodel –shift -100 –extsize 200 –nolambda –keep-dup all –call-summits. Peak sets from biological replicates were overlapped with Bedtools intersect (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) to identify replicated peaks. Peaks sets generated from regions present in both replicates were then intersected to identify peaks specific to each tissue type. Normalized reads were visualized on the UCSC genome browser (Kent et al., 2002). For D5 and D7 *in vitro* samples, we made a consensus set of open chromatin regions with the ATAC-seq summit peak calls. Homer function mergePeaks (-d 200) was used to combine the summits within 200 bp of each other. Promoters from defined GLI1 GRN genes were extended by 200 kb and overlapped with consensus regions to mark putative enhancer elements of these genes. R package ComplexUpset was used to generate upset plot in Figure 5F.

Immunoblotting

Wild type E10 embryos were harvested from two pregnant CD1 females. Embryos tissues corresponding to the pSHF, aSHF and HT were microdissected as previously (Hoffmann et al., 2014), pooled and lysed. 35ug of total protein was used for western blot analysis of each tissue. Blots were probed with antibodies recognizing GLI1 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling 2534S), GLI2 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling 2585S), GLI3 (1:1,000; R&D AF3690) and GAPDH (1:10,000; Abcam ab8245). For each transcription factor, pixel density was then computed in all tissues, relative to the pixel density of GAPDH within that tissue, using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). Finally, the relative densities of transcription factors for the pSHF and HT were summed, and the proportion of density contributed to each tissue by GLI^A (GLI1, GLI2^A, GLI3^A) and GLI^R (GLI2^R and GLI3^R) was calculated.

Luciferase assays

Expression vectors for *Gli1*, *Gli3T* and *Tbx5* and a luciferase vector containing the *Fox* enhancer were previously described (Hoffmann et al., 2014). Expression and reporter vectors were transfected into HEK293T cells using FuGENE HD (Promega E2311). Cells were cultured for 48 hours after transfection, then lysed and assayed using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega E1960).



Transient transgenics

The *Foxf1a* enhancer and minimal promoter used in the luciferase assays were subcloned from the pENTR vector into the Hsp68-LacZ vector (Kothary et al., 1989) using the Gateway system (Invitrogen). GLI binding sites were mutated using the Agilent QuikChange Multi site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent 210515-5). The resulting constructs were digested with NotI enzyme to remove the pBlueScript backbone, gel-purified, injected into fertilized mouse eggs at the University of Chicago Transgenics Core Facility and implanted into female mice. Embryos were harvested at E9.5 and stained as described previously (Hoffmann et al., 2014).

Embryo immunofluorescence and histology

Embryos were harvested from timed pregnancies and yolk sacs were collected for genotyping. For *Osr1*^{eGFPCre-ERT2/+}; *ROSA26*^{Gli3R-Flag/+} (*Gli3*^R OE) embryos, pregnant female mice were administered 2 mg tamoxifen at E7.5, E8.5 and E9.5 (*Gli3*^R OE) by intraperitoneal injection. Embryos were then harvested at E10.5 for immunofluorescence studies, or at E14.5 for histological examination. For immunofluorescence, E10.5 embryos were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed with PBS and processed for paraffin sectioning. 5um serial sections were generated and used for immunofluorescence with primary antibodies recognizing sarcomeric myosin (1:20, DSHB MF20) or MYL7 (1:500, ThermoFisher 17283-1-AP) and Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Antigen retrieval was performed on all sections with 10mM sodium citrate buffer. DAPI was used to counterstain tissues and provide a tissue reference. Sections were imaged with an Olympus IX81 inverted widefield microscope using 10x and 20x objectives in the University of Chicago's Integrated Light Microscopy Core Facility. Images were processed with ImageJ.

Histological studies were performed at E14.5. Embryos were harvested and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C, and then processed for paraffin sectioning. Sum sections were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin to reveal the structural morphology of the atrial septum in mutant and control embryos.

Bioinformatic analysis of developmental time series RNA-seq data

Publicly available data sets from human and mouse were downloaded (Table S6), and grouped by tissue, germ layer of origin and developmental stage. We used TPMs generated by the Encode Consortium, and these expression values were further ranked per gene so as to eliminate possible batch effects with function percent_rank from R Bioconductor package dplyr. Ranked expression values of *Gapdh* and *Gli*1 were then visualized into boxplots with function geom_boxplot from R Bioconductor package ggplot2. We used R base function aov to perform an ANOVA test to find significant differences in expression between all developmental stage points, followed by R base function TukeyHSD to find statistically significant differences between two time points. We performed differential expression tests between all mouse progenitor and mouse differentiated tissues described above using expected counts generated by Encode with Bioconductor package DESeq2. We determined progenitor-enriched genes as those with adjusted *P*-values less than 0.05 and log² fold change less than -1. We then used R bioconductor package clusterProfiler to find KEGG pathways enriched in progenitor-enriched genes, and visualized with ggplot2 as above.

mESC neuronal differentiation culture and GLI1 OE

Neuron differentiation was performed as previously described (Cai and Grabel, 2007; Ying et al., 2003). Briefly, cells were plated at a density of 9.5×10^4 /cm² on a 0.1% gelatin-coated dish and allowed to differentiate in N2B27 medium, which was replaced every two days. RNA was collected and qPCR was performed as described above to assess the expression of neural stem cell marker *Sox1*, neural progenitor markers *Nestin* and *Pax6* and neuron marker *Tubb3*, as well as Hh markers *Gli1*, *Hhip* and *Ptch1* relative to *Gapdh* in differentiating neurons.

For GLI1 overexpression experiments, 500ng/ml doxycycline (Sigma D9891) was added to cultures at the neural progenitor stage (Day 3). Cells were then washed and media was changed after 48hrs of exposure to doxycycline. The number of axons and the number of neurospheres / neural rosette clusters were manually counted by two independent observers blinded to the treatment from ten fields of view across two biological replicates using 10x brightfield microscopy (Olympus IX81). mESC immunofluorescence was performed on control and GLI1 OE cells with an antibody recognizing β -Tubulin III (*Tubb3*/TUJ1, 1:100, R & D Systems MAB1195). The area of TUJ1 positivity was calculated as the mean grey area / mm² of 5 fields of view across two biological replicates per condition, using the threshold measurement tool in ImageJ. RNA-seq, and qPCR validation, was performed with mESC neural GLI1 OE and control samples at D5 and D10 in a similar manner as for mESC cardiac samples, as above.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical tests were performed in R. Data are summarized and presented as mean +/- SEM. Shapiro-Wilk's and Levene's tests were used to determine whether data met the assumptions of parametric statistical tests. If data met the assumptions, a two-sided Student's *t*-test between two groups or ANOVA between multiple groups was performed to determine whether differences between the groups were statistically significant. ANOVA was followed by post-hoc Tukey tests to identify pairwise differences. If assumptions were not met, a Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test were performed instead. Proportions were compared and enrichment calculated using the Fisher's Exact test. * p < 0.05; *** p < 0.001; *** p < 0.001. Detailed information regarding statistical tests is included in the results section. All data was plotted in R.